arXiv:1606.03705v4 [math.GT] 18 Oct 2016

COUNTING SADDLE CONNECTIONS IN FLAT SURFACES WITH POLES OF HIGHER ORDER

GUILLAUME TAHAR

Abstract. Flat surfaces that correspond to k-differentials on compact Riemann surfaces are of finite area provided there is no pole of order k or higher. We denote by *flat surfaces with poles of higher order* those surfaces with flat structures defined by a differential with at least one pole of order at least k. Flat surfaces with poles of higher order have different geometrical and dynamical properties than usual flat surfaces of finite area. In particular, they can have a finite number of saddle connections. We give lower and upper bounds for the number of saddle connections and related quantities. In the case $k = 1$ *or* 2, we provide a combinatorial characterization of the strata for which there can be an infinite number of saddle connections.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Statement of main results 3
3. Flat structures defined by meromorphic differentials 10
4. Core and related concepts 15
5. Dynamics 23
6. Noncrossing saddle connections 27
7. Dichotomy between chambers 32
8. Reducibility index and invariant components 35
References 44

1. Introduction

Translation surfaces correspond to nonzero holomorphic 1-forms (Abelian differentials) on a compact Riemann surface. In [5], a *translation surface with poles* is defined by a meromorphic 1-form on a compact Riemann Surface, where the poles correspond to the punctured points. In this paper, we also consider k-differentials, that is differentials of the form $f(z)dz^k$. Nonzero k-differentials define flat metrics as well but their holonomy can be nontrivial (rotations of angle $\frac{2m\pi}{k}$).

Zeroes of arbitrary order and poles of order at most $k-1$ of a meromorphic k-differential are the conical singularities of the flat metric induced. Poles of order at least k are referred to as *poles of higher order*. Every neighborhood of a pole of higher order is of infinite area for the induced flat structure. In this paper, we mainly focus on saddle connections of flat surfaces with poles of higher order. A saddle connection is a geodesic segment joining two conical singularities and having no singularity inside it.

Date: October 18, 2016.

Key words and phrases. Translation surface, Saddle connection, Flat structure, Quadratic differential, Higher order differential.

Translation surfaces (corresponding to holomorphic 1-forms) and half-translation surfaces (corresponding to meromorphic quadratic differentials with at most simple poles) have been deeply studied, both from a geometrical and a dynamical point of view, see [32]. They are flat surfaces of finite area.
Some surfaces of infinite area, such as the infinite staircase, have already been studied from a dynamical perspective, see [18, 19]. They are surfaces of infinite genus and their dynamics is very rich. However, our work is totally different. Flat surfaces that correspond to meromorphic k-differentials have finite genus and their interest is much more geometric than dynamic. They have been connected with at least three different fields of study:
- wall-crossing structures in spaces of stability conditions on triangulated categories,
- spectral families of linear differential operators,
- compactifications of strata of differentials corresponding to surfaces of finite area.

One of the main motivations in the recent growth in interest for the study of spaces of meromorphic differentials is the connection with spaces of stability conditions on certain triangulated categories drawn in the paper of Bridgeland and Smith [7]. They provide a way to construct a Calabi-Yau category of dimension three (CY_3) starting from a triangulated surface. There is a correspondance between quadratic differentials on the surface and stability conditions of the category. Saddle connections of a quadratic differential are interpreted as stable objects of a category for a given stability condition.
The study of spaces of stability conditions has physical motivations. Donaldson-Thomas invariants of Calabi-Yau threefolds can serve as counting functions of BPS states in String Theory. Donaldson-Thomas invariants depend on the choice of a stability condition but are constant under small enough deformations. They can change at real codimension one hypersurfaces of the space of stability conditions (walls). Wall-crossing formulas relate the values of the invariants on both sides of a wall, see the works of Gaiotto-Moore-Neitzke and Kontsevich-Soibelman [15, 20]. Donaldson-Thomas invariants have been generalized from Calabi-Yau threefolds to the more general Calabi-Yau categories of dimension three (CY_3).
Saddle connections of flat surfaces are related to Donaldson-Thomas invariants and exhibit a wall-crossing phenomenon. As shown in the following, there is a walls-and-chambers structure in strata of differentials with poles of higher order. There is no such thing in strata of differentials corresponding to flat surfaces of finite area. The moduli spaces of these two kinds of objects have quite different geometric properties and are involved in distinct settings.

Differentials are also related to the asymptotic theory of various linear differential operators. According to a conjecture of Boris Shapiro [29], each saddle connection of a meromorphic quadratic differential $f(z)dz^2$ corresponds to an infinite series of eigenvalues for the WKB approximation of the Schrödinger equation $-y'' + \lambda f(z)y = 0$.
Differentials of higher order, that is k-differentials for which $k \geq 3$, also appear in the study of the asymptotic root distribution for the eigenpolynomials of higher Lamé operators, see [17]. In particular, Shapiro developed a notion of Jenkins-Strebel differentials of higher order. If $k = 1$ *or* 2, a Jenkins-Strebel differential is a differential that induces a flat structure such that almost every horizontal trajectory is closed.

Recent results of Eskin-Mirzakhani-Mohammadi and Filip have shown that $GL^+(2, \mathbb{R})$-orbit closures of translation surfaces are quasiprojective subvarities of the ambient stratum, see [10, 12]. Therefore, the study of projective subvarieties of compactifications of strata can lead to further progress in the classification of orbit closures. Meromorphic differentials naturally occur in compactifications of strata of Abelian and quadratic differentials

as possible degenerations [1, 3, 8, 13, 14, 26].

Counting saddle connections of flat surfaces is a problem that could be very different depending if there are poles of higher order, see [16]. Translation surfaces (corresponding to holomorphic 1-forms) and half-translation surfaces (corresponding to meromorphic quadratic differentials with at most simple poles) always have an infinite number of saddle connections. Providing an asymptotic description of the set of saddle connections of such surfaces is an important part of the study of flat surfaces. Let X be a (half-)translation surface of area equal to one. We denote by $N(X, L)$ the number of saddle connections of X of length at most L. It was proved by Masur in [24, 25] that there exist constants $0 < c_1 < c_2 < +\infty$ such that for L sufficiently large, we have:

$$c_1 L^2 \leq N(X, L) \leq c_1 L^2.$$

If we consider almost all flat surfaces of a connected component $\mathcal{C}$ of a stratum $\mathcal{H}$, we get an exact asymptotic description of the number of saddle connections. It was proved by Eskin and Masur in [9] that there is a Siegel-Veech constant $SV(\mathcal{C})$ such that for almost every (half-)translation surface X in $\mathcal{C}$, we have $\lim_{L\to+\infty} \dfrac{N(X, L)}{\pi L^2} = SV(\mathcal{C})$.

When there are poles of higher order, strata do not display such uniformity. As will be described further in this paper, in many strata there are domains where surfaces have a finite number of saddle connections and domains where surfaces have an infinite number of them. For domains where surfaces have a finite number of saddle connections, this number is not necessarily the same throughout the stratum.

This lack of uniformity can be explained largely by the existence of a walls-and-chambers structure in those strata. There is a natural notion of discriminant in every stratum that allows to define walls (hypersurfaces of real codimension one) and chambers (connected components of the complement to the discriminant of the stratum). Many numbers related to saddle connections are constant in chambers but change as we cross a wall. This is especially true for the maximal number of saddle connections we can draw on the surface in such a way that two distinct saddle connections intersect at most in the endpoints.
If $k = 1$ *or* 2, there is a natural action of $GL^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ on the stratum. This action is ergodic on strata of Abelian differentials or strata of quadratic differentials with at most simple poles. Because of the walls-and-chambers structure, the action of $GL^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ on strata of differentials with poles of higher order is almost never ergodic. This partly explains the great variety of behaviors we can find in a stratum of differentials with poles of higher order.

In this paper, we initiate the study of the counting problem in the case of strata of k-differentials with poles of higher order. Our objective is twofold. First, we try to solve the problem as completely as possible for meromorphic 1-forms and meromorphic quadratic differentials. As it turns out, many of our results equally hold for differentials of higher order and may be used as foundational results for a further study.

2. Statement of main results

Let X be a compact Riemann Surface. K is the canonical line bundle on X. A meromorphic k-differential ϕ is a meromorphic section of $K^{\otimes k}$. When ϕ has at least one pole of order at least k, then (X, ϕ) is called a *flat surface with poles of higher order*. When there is no ambiguity, it will be referred to as X. Flat surfaces with poles of higher order are infinite area surfaces with "finite complexity" [6, 16].

In the following, without further specification, we study differentials with at least one pole of order at least k. If $k = 1$, we get translation surfaces with poles studied in [5].

Zeroes and poles of order at most $k-1$ of the k-differential are conical singularities for the induced flat metric [4, 30]. We denote by $\mathcal{H}^k(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$ the stratum in the moduli space of meromorphic k-differentials that correspond to differentials with conical singularites of degrees $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \{-k+1, \ldots, -1\} \cup \mathbb{N}^*$ and poles of degrees $b_1, \ldots, b_p \geq k$. Family $a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p$ is the *singularity pattern* of the stratum. The integer g such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - \sum_{j=1}^{p} b_j = k(2g-2)$ is the *genus* of the flat surface with poles of higher order.

Unless otherwise indicated, we will assume that $n > 0$ and $p > 0$. Besides, if $g = 0$, we will assume that $n + p \geq 3$ because there is no saddle connections in surfaces with too few singularities. In order to simplify our study, there are no marked points in the surfaces we study.

In this paper, we prove several theorems about the number of saddle connections of flat surfaces with poles of higher order. We beforehand introduce some new tools in order to establish these results.

2.1. **Core.** The *core* of a flat surface with poles of higher order (X, ϕ) is the convex hull $core(X)$ of the set of conical singularities of the k-differential for the locally euclidian metric, see the work of Haiden-Katzarkov-Kontsevich for the case of meromorphic quadratic differentials [16]. We define $\mathcal{IC}(X)$ as the interior of $core(X)$ in X and $\partial\mathcal{C}(X) = core(X) \setminus \mathcal{IC}(X)$ as its boundary, see Definition 4.1 for more details.

The following lemma shows that $core(X)$ separates poles of higher order from each other. We define the *domain of a pole* P as the connected component of $X \setminus core(X)$ containing the pole of higher order P.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a flat surface with p poles of higher order, then $X \setminus core(X)$ has p connected components. Each of them is a topological disk that contains a unique pole of higher order.*

The *core* is said to be degenerated when $\mathcal{IC}(X) = \emptyset$, that is when $core(X)$ coincides with graph $\partial\mathcal{C}(X)$. In the case $k = 1$ *or* 2, there is a natural action of $GL^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ on strata that provides a systematic way to construct flat surfaces whose core is degenerated. There are such surfaces in the $GL^+(2, \mathbb{R})$-orbit closure of every surface, see Lemma 2.2. It must be noted there is no natural action of $GL^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ on strata of k-differentials for which $k \geq 3$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (X, ϕ) be a flat surface with poles of higher order such that ϕ is a 1-form or a quadratic differential. Then, there is a surface (X_0, ϕ_0) in the $GL^+(2, \mathbb{R})$-orbit closure of (X, ϕ) inside the ambiant stratum such that all saddle connections of (X_0, ϕ_0) share the same direction and $core(X_0)$ is degenerated.*

Structure changes of the core define a walls-and-chambers structure on the strata (see Definition 4.10). In other words, there is a *discriminant* Σ that is a hypersurface of real codimension one. *Chambers* are the connected components of the complement to the discriminant. Every topological property of the core is invariant in chambers (see Proposition 4.13). In the case $k = 1$ *or* 2, this walls-and-chambers structure is $GL^+(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant.

Lemma 2.1 is proved in section 4. Lemma 2.2 is proved in section 5.

2.2. **Dynamics.** The existence of a walls-and-chambers structure is not the only difference with usual flat surfaces of finite area. Dynamics of flat surfaces with poles of higher order are also different from the usual case because most trajectories go to infinity, that is to a pole of higher order.

For every flat surface corresponding to a k-differential there is a canonical covering of degree k by a translation surface. This construction typically introduced in the context of quadratic differentials with at most simple poles works with poles of higher order as well as with k-differential for arbitrary k, see [1]. Therefore, every result about the dynamics of the vertical flow for meromorphic 1-forms can be transfered to flat surfaces with nontrivial holonomy.

Our first theorem is a classification of invariant components for the directional flow of translation surfaces with poles (corresponding to meromorphic 1-forms). Its counterpart for classical translation surfaces only features cylinders and minimal components. The picture is a little more complicated for translation surface with poles.

Theorem 2.3. *Let (X, ω) be a translation surface with poles (ω is a meromorphic 1-form). Cutting along all saddle connections sharing a given direction θ, we obtain finitely many connected components called invariant components. There are four types of invariant components:*
*- **finite volume cylinders** where the leaves are periodic with the same period,*
*- **minimal components** of finite volume where the foliation is minimal, the directions are recurrent and whose dynamics are given by a nontrivial interval exchange map,*
*- **infinite volume cylinders** bounding a simple pole and where the leaves are periodic with the same period,*
*- **free components** of infinite volume where generic leaves go from a pole to another or return to the same pole.*

Finite volume components belong to $core(X)$.
The number of infinite volume cylinders is bounded by the number of simple poles.
The number of free components is bounded by the total number of poles.

Types of trajectories are the same regardless the order of the differential. In particular, regular closed geodesics describe cylinders for flat structures defined by k-differentials. The aim is to show that existence of a finite volume cylinder is a necessary and sufficient condition for a surface to have an infinite number of saddle connections.

The theorem below shows that existence of finite volume cylinders or minimal components corresponds to infinity of saddle connections. There is a similar result in the context of slit translation surfaces in [1].

Theorem 2.4. *Let (X, ϕ) be a flat surface with poles of higher order. Then the directions of the waist curves of finite volume cylinders and the directions of minimal components of (X, ϕ) are exactly the accumulation points of directions of saddle connections of (X, ϕ) considered as points of the unit circle (up to a rotation of angle $\frac{2\pi}{k}$).*

Combining the previous result with a theorem of [31], we get a very simple characterization of surfaces with infinitely many saddle connections.

Corollary 2.5. *A flat surface with poles of higher order (X, ϕ) of a stratum $\mathcal{H}$ has infinitely many saddle connections if and only if there is a finite volume cylinder in X. Besides, the locus in the stratum $\mathcal{H}$ where $|SC| = +\infty$ is an open set.*

The previous corollary opens the way to a combinatorial characterization of the strata where we can find infinity of saddle connection for at least one surface. We provide such a characterization for strata of 1-forms and strata of quadratic differentials in section 8.

Theorems 2.3 to 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 are proved in section 5.

2.3. **Noncrossing saddle connections.** In order to get topological constraints on the number of saddle connections, we introduce another concept from [16].
A *geodesic arc system* is a graph of noncrossing saddle connections, see Definition 4.5. It is maximal if it cannot be complemented with another saddle connection without crossing the others. Since it is possible for k-differentials with $k \geq 3$ to exhibit saddle connections that intersect themselves, we consider only saddle connections without self-intersection.
Two maximal geodesic arc systems of a given surface have the same number of edges (Lemma 6.1) so we indistinctly use the terms "number of noncrossing saddle connections" and "number of edges of maximal geodesic arc systems".
The number $|A|$ of edges of a maximal geodesic arc system of a flat surface (X, ϕ) is a minorant of the number of saddle connections of (X, ϕ) but is much easier to compute. In particular, every maximal geodesic arc system on a flat surface (X, ϕ) defines an ideal triangulation of $core(X)$, see Lemma 4.6. We denote by t the number of ideal triangles in every triangulation of $core(X)$. We have $|A| = 2g - 2 + n + p + t$, see Lemma 4.8. Therefore, the number $|A|$ remains constant along each chamber.

The following theorem provides lower bounds both on the number $|SC|$ of saddle connections and the maximal number $|A|$ of noncrossing saddle connections. The bound is proved to be sharp in strata of 1-forms and strata of quadratic differentials. In particular, this means that in the case $k = 1$ *or* 2, there are flat surfaces with a finite number of saddle connections in every stratum. On the contrary, for every $k \geq 3$, there are strata such that there is no flat surface whose core is degenerated.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $|A|$ be the number of edges of a maximal geodesic arc system for a flat surface with poles of higher order (X, ϕ) of genus g belonging to $\mathcal{H}^k(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$, then:*

$$|SC| \geq |A| \geq 2g - 2 + n + p$$

$|SC| = |A| = 2g - 2 + n + p$ if and only if $core(X)$ is degenerated. Provided $k = 1$ or 2, there are such surfaces in the closure of every chamber in the ambiant stratum.

Following Theorem 2.6, the lower bound for $|A|$ and $|SC|$ may be attained only on very singular surfaces. Theorem 2.7 shows that staying away from the discriminant Σ we may obtain different lower bounds for $|A|$. We consider the specific example of k-differentials whose poles of higher order are all of order k.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $|A|$ be the number of edges of a maximal geodesic arc system for a flat surface with poles of higher order (X, ϕ) of genus g belonging to $\mathcal{H}^k(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -k^p) \setminus \Sigma$, then:*
- if k is odd, we have $|A| = 6g - 6 + 3n + 2p$,
- if k is even and $p \geq 2$, we have $|A| = 6g - 6 + 3n + 2p$,
- if k is even and $p = 1$, we have $|A| \geq 6g + 3n - 5$.

Proving an upper bound on the maximal number of noncrossing saddle connections requires some calculations involving the angular contributions of the domains of poles of

higher order. It is quite remarkable that the upper bound does not depend on the degree of zeroes and poles but only on their number.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $|A|$ be the number of edges of a maximal geodesic arc system for a flat surface with poles of higher order (X, ϕ) of genus g that belongs to $\mathcal{H}(a_1, \dots, a_n, -b_1, \dots, -b_p)$, then $|A| \leq 6g - 6 + 3n + 2p$.*

Theorem 2.6 to 2.8 are proved in section 6.

2.4. **Dichotomy between chambers.** The problem of infinity of saddle connections is first adressed at the level of chambers. In Theorem 2.9, we prove a dichotomy between chambers where some surfaces have an infinite number of saddle connections and chambers where the number of saddle connections is globally bounded. In particular, the following theorem forbids existence of a chamber where every surface would have a finite number of saddle connections but where this number could be arbitrarily large. Theorem 2.9 is only about strata of 1-forms. Since closed geodesics are very different if $k \geq 3$, a generalization of this theorem would require deeper insights. Such a theorem would be easier in the case $k = 2$ but we would need better combinatorial information about the core in order to get a sharp bound.

Just as in Theorems 2.6 and 2.8, we crucially use the triangulation defined by a maximal geodesic arc system to get an upper bound. For a given flat surface (X, ϕ), the number t of ideal triangles in a triangulation of $core(X)$ is constant in the chamber (X, ϕ) belongs to, see Lemma 4.8. Besides, the numbers $t_1, \dots, t_s$ of ideal triangles in every connected component of the interior of $core(X)$ are also constant in the chamber. We have $t = \sum_{i=1}^{s} t_i$.

Theorem 2.9. *For every stratum $\mathcal{H}^1(a_1, \dots, a_n, -b_1, \dots, -b_p)$ of flat surfaces of genus g and for every chamber $\mathcal{C}$, exactly one of these two statements is true:*
- $\mathcal{C}$ is a chamber of bounded type : for every surface (X, ϕ) in chamber $\mathcal{C}$, we have $|SC| \leq 2g - 2 + n + p + t + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{s} t_i(t_i - 1)$.
- $\mathcal{C}$ is a chamber of unbounded type : there is a surface (X, ϕ) in chamber $\mathcal{C}$ such that $|SC| = +\infty$.

Since there are $\frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ geodesic segments in a convex flat d-gon, we can construct translation surfaces with poles (in the case $k = 1$) whose core is a convex flat d-gon and that realize the case of equality of the bound, see Proposition 7.1. Therefore, our bound is sharp in a certain way.

Following Theorem 2.8, we get $t \leq 4g - 4 + 2n + p$ so we can easily convert our bound that depends on t into a global bound that depends only on the singularity pattern of the stratum (numbers n, p, g and k).

Chambers are defined as domains of strata where the core has the same topological structure so there should be a topological characterization of chambers of unbounded type. However, it is still an open problem.

Theorem 2.9 is proved in section 7.



FIGURE 1. A graph representation of $\mathcal{H}^1(2^2, 1, -1^3)$ and a flat realization.

2.5. **Graph representation, reducibility index and invariant components.** In a (half-)translation surface, closed geodesics are simple curves. It is just the opposite of the case $k \geq 3$ where generic closed geodesics may have self-intersection. Therefore, in the case $k = 1$ *or* 2, cutting along a family of closed geodesics decomposes a surface into especially nice components connected by cylinders. Such a decomposition defines what we denote by a *graph representation*, that is a graph where vertices correspond to components and edges correspond to cylinders. Every singularity of the singularity pattern $a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p$ of a stratum $\mathcal{H}^k(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$ is assigned to a vertex, see Figure 1.

We define for every stratum of 1-forms or quadratic differentials its *reducibility index* κ. This number quantifies to what extent the combinatorics of singularity pattern $a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p$ of stratum $\mathcal{H}^k(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$ allows the surfaces to be decomposed into several components connected by cylinders. Our goal is to making explicit the combinatorial obstruction for surfaces of a given stratum to include a finite volume cylinder, that is to have an infinite number of saddle connections. In Theorem 2.12, we prove that the only obstruction is combinatorial. It allows us to provide a complete characterization of strata such that every flat surface has a finite number of saddle connections.

What follows in this section applies to flat surfaces with or without poles of higher provided. The only condition is that the differential must be a 1-form or a quadratic differential.

We have to be careful. The definition of a graph representation is not exactly the same in the case $k = 1$ and in the case $k = 2$.

Definition 2.10. Let $\mathcal{H}^k(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$ be a stratum of k-differentials on a surface of genus g such that $k = 1$ *or* 2. A *graph representation of level* s of the stratum is defined by the following data $(G, f_0, \ldots, f_s, w_0, \ldots, w_s)$. G is a connected multigraph (there can be more than one edge between two vertices) with $s + 1$ vertices of valencies $v_0, \ldots, v_s$ and with t edges. Natural numbers $w_0, \ldots, w_s$ are weights associated to each vertex. Numbers $a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p$ are split into $s + 1$ families f_i such that:
(i) there is at least one number among $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ in each family f_i (there must be a conical singularity in each component),
(ii) the sums $\sigma(i)$ of the numbers in each family f_i satisfy $\sigma(i) + 2k = k.v_i + 2k.w_i$ (weights $w_0, \ldots, w_s$ correspond to the genus of each component),
(iii) only in the case $k = 1$, if cutting an edge splits the graph into two connected components, then there is a number among $(-b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$ in at least one family of each connected component.

As a consequence of the valency formula, we have $t = s + g - \sum_{i=0}^{s} w_i$.

Besides, we have $0 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{s} w_i \leq g$.

A *graph representation* is *pure* when weights $w_0, \ldots, w_s$ are identically zero. In this case, $\frac{\sigma}{k}$ is the canonical divisor of graph G, see [2].
One can always transform a graph representation $(G, f_0, \ldots, f_s, w_0, \ldots, w_s)$ into a pure graph representation by attaching to every vertex a number of loops equal to its weight.

The *reducibility index* κ of a stratum is the maximal number s such that there exists a graph representation of level s of the stratum.

It follows from that definition that $0 \leq \kappa \leq n-1$. Strata of genus zero where $\kappa = 0$ are called *irreducible strata*. Otherwise, they are *reducible strata*.
In particular, for strata of genus zero, a necessary and sufficient condition for being an irreducible stratum is that we cannot split the singularity pattern into two families such that the sum of the numbers in each family is $-k$.

Lemma 2.11 proves that cutting along cylinders actually allows us to realize graph representations that satisfy all the conditions.

Lemma 2.11. *Let (X, ϕ) be a surface in a stratum $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$ and F a family of finite volume cylinders of X whose interiors are disjoints. Cutting along a waist curve in each cylinder of F defines a graph representation $(G, f_0, \ldots, f_s, w_0, \ldots, w_s)$ of the stratum $\mathcal{H}$. Each vertex of graph G corresponds to a connected component. Family f_i is the set of singularities of X that belong to the connected component corresponding to i and w_i is its genus. Each edge of graph G is a cylinder of the family F. We say that (X, F) realizes the graph representation $(G, f_0, \ldots, f_s, w_0, \ldots, w_s)$ of the stratum $\mathcal{H}$.*

The only obstruction is combinatorial. Every combinatorially possible graph representation is actually realized by some flat surface in the stratum.

Theorem 2.12. *For every graph representation $(G, f_0, \ldots, f_s, w_0, \ldots, w_s)$ of a stratum $\mathcal{H}$, there is a flat surface X and a family F of cylinders such that (X, F) realizes the graph representation $(G, f_0, \ldots, f_s, w_0, \ldots, w_s)$ of the stratum $\mathcal{H}$.*
Besides, we can choose (X, F) such that the closed geodesics of all cylinders of the family F share the same direction.

We give a sharp bound for the number of finite volume invariant components for a flat surface that belongs to a given stratum. This result generalizes a theorem of Naveh about translation surfaces without poles, see [27].

Theorem 2.13. *Let (X, ϕ) be a flat surface in stratum $\mathcal{H}^k(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$ of genus g. Cutting along all saddle connections sharing a given direction θ, we obtain at most $g + \kappa$ finite volume components. The bound is sharp for every stratum.*

Following Corollary 2.5, a flat surface (X, ϕ) has an infinite number of saddle connection if and only if there is a cylinder of finite volume in X. Therefore, Theorem 2.13 implies a complete characterization of strata where every surface has finitely many saddle connections. In particular, this can only occur when the genus is zero. These strata are referred to as *irreducible strata*.

Corollary 2.14. *Every flat surface in a stratum has finitely many saddle connections if and only if the stratum is irreducible ($g = \kappa = 0$).*

It must be noted that in every stratum of meromorphic 1-forms or quadratic differential with poles of higher order, we can find flat surfaces which have finitely many saddle connections (Theorem 2.6).

Theorem 2.8 provides a bound on the number of saddle connections for translation surfaces with poles (when $k = 1$) that belong to chambers where no surface has an infinite number of saddle connections. In particular, for irreducible strata, it implies a bound that holds in the whole stratum.

Corollary 2.15. *Every chamber in a stratum $\mathcal{H}$ is of bounded type if and only if $\mathcal{H}$ is an irreducible stratum. In this case, for every flat surface in $\mathcal{H}$, we have:*

$$|SC| \leq 2g - 2 + n + p + \frac{(4g - 4 + 2n + p)(4g + 2n + p - 3)}{2}$$

.

Lemma 2.11, Theorems 2.12 to 2.13 and Corollaries 2.14 to 2.15 are proved in section 8.

The structure of the paper is the following:
- In Section 3, we recall the background about flat surfaces with poles of higher order: flat metric, saddle connections, moduli space and their components.
- In Section 4, we introduce one of our main tools: the core of a flat surface with poles and its associated wall-and-chambers structure. We also introduce the notion of maximal geodesic arc system.
- In Section 5, we prove some preliminary dynamical results. Then, we describe the leaves of the vertical foliation, obtaining a decomposition theorem for directions of saddle connections. We characterize the accumulation points of directions of saddle connections as directions of finite volume cylinders or minimal components. We end with a systematic construction of surfaces with a degenerated core.
- In Section 6, we get lower and upper bounds on the maximal number of noncrossing saddle connections.
- In Section 7, we prove an upper bound on the number of saddle connections in chambers and strata where there is no finite volume cylinder.
- In Section 8, we introduce the notion of reducibility index for strata of 1-forms and quadratic differentials. We give bounds on the number of finite volume invariant components. Then, we characterize the strata and chambers where some surfaces have an infinity of saddle connections.

Acknowledgements. I thank my doctoral advisor Anton Zorich for motivating my work on this paper and many interesting discussions. I am grateful to Corentin Boissy, Quentin Gendron, Elise Goujard and Ben-Michael Kohli for their valuable remarks. This work is supported by the ERC Project "Quasiperiodic" of Artur Avila.

3. Flat structures defined by meromorphic differentials

3.1. **Flat structures.** Let X be a compact Riemann surface and let ϕ be a meromorphic k-differential, that is a differential of the kind $f(z)dz^k$. Λ is the set of conical singularities of ϕ. They are the poles of order strictly smaller than k and the zeroes of arbitrary order. Δ is the set of poles of order at least k of ϕ. They will be referred to as *poles of higher order*.

Outside Λ and Δ, q is locally the k^{th} power of a holomorphic differential ω. Integration of ω in a neighborhood of z_0 gives local coordinates whose transition maps are of the type $z \mapsto e^{i\theta}z + c$ where $\theta \in \{0, \frac{2\pi}{k} \ldots, (k-1)\frac{2\pi}{k}\}$.

The pair (X, ϕ) seen as a smooth surface with such an atlas is called a *flat surface with poles of higher order*.

An element of Λ can be a zero of ϕ or a pole of order at most $k-1$. In a neighborhood of a zero of order $a > 0$, the metric induced by ϕ admits a conical singularity of angle $(1 + \frac{a}{k})2\pi > 2\pi$. In a neighborhood of a pole of order $1 \leq a \leq k-1$, the metric induced by ϕ admits a conical singularity of angle $(1 - \frac{a}{k})2\pi < 2\pi$, see Strebel in [30].

3.2. **Local model for poles of higher order.** The residue is the only local invariant of a pole of order $b \geq k$.

The neighborhood of a pole of order k is a semi-infinite cylinder with one end. In the case $k = 1$, the residue of the meromorphic differential at this pole is the holonomy vector of the closed geodesics defined by this cylinder, considered up to a rotation of angle $\frac{2\pi}{k}$. This shows that the residue at a simple pole is always nonzero.

A flat cone is the flat surface of genus zero with one conical singularity of order a and one pole of order b such that $a - b = -2k$. In the case $a = 0$, there is no conical singularity but there is a unique pole of order $2k$. This surface is the flat plane (the pole of order $2k$ is the point at infinity).

The neighborhood of a pole of order $b > k$ with trivial residue is obtained by taking an infinite cone of angle $(\frac{b}{k} - 1)2\pi$ and removing a compact neighborhood of the conical singularity.

In order to get neighborhoods of poles of order $b > k$ with non trivial residue, we follow Boissy in [5]. We take an infinite cone of angle $(\frac{b}{k} - 1)2\pi$ and remove an ϵ-neighborhood of a semi-infinite line starting from the conical singularity and a neighborhood of the conical singularity. Then, we glue the boundaries of the neighborhood on each other. Rotating and rescaling gives a pole of order k with the residue we want.

Lemma 3.1. *Every pole of higher order has a basis of neighborhoods whose complement is convex.*

Proof. For poles of order k, we can pick a converging family of periodic geodesics as boundaries of neighborhoods of a basis.
We follow the classical construction of neighborhoods of poles of order $b > k$. We consider a conical singularity of order $2k - b$, draw a convex neighborhood V of this zero. After a change of coordinates $w = 1/z$, the complement to V is a neighborhood of the pole as required for the flat metric. The neighborhoods of poles having same degrees and same residues are isometric so the lemma is proved in all cases.

□

3.3. **Moduli space.** If (X, ϕ) and (X', ϕ') are flat surfaces such that there is a biholomorphism f from X to X' such that ϕ is the pullback of ϕ', then f is an isometry for the flat

metrics defined by ϕ and ϕ'.

As in the case of Abelian differentials, we define the moduli space of meromorphic k-differentials as the space of equivalence classes of flat surfaces with poles of higher order (X, ϕ) up to biholomorphism preserving the differential.

We denote by $\mathcal{H}^k(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$ the *stratum* that corresponds to meromorphic k-differentials with singularities degrees $a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p$. A necessary condition for a stratum to be nonempty is that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - \sum_{j=1}^{p} b_j = k(2g-2)$ for some integer $g \geq 0$. The integer g is the genus of the underlying Riemann surface. For sake of simplicity we will use the term of strata of genus g to designate strata of differentials that exist only in surfaces of genus g.

3.4. **Surgery tools.** There is a surgery called *adding a handle*. We cut a small slit inside a surface and paste the two ends of a cylinder along the two sides of the slit. Such a surgery increases the genus of the surface by one. Besides, it is a local surgery that does not change the geometry of the surface outside a compact subset.

In the case $k = 1$ *or* 2, there is another surgery called *breaking up conical singularities* that starts from a flat surface with a conical singularity of order $a + b$ and provides a flat surface with two conical singularities of orders a and b connected by a short geodesic, see [21].
In the case $k = 2$, when a and b are both odd, the most common construction does not work. We have to proceed as follows. We denote by H the conical singularity of order $a + b$. We choose a direction θ that is the not the direction of a saddle connection. Then, we consider a geodesic trajectory α starting from H and that follows direction θ. Since θ is the not the direction of a saddle connection, trajectory α converges to a pole (see Section 5 for details about types of trajectories). Next, we consider a geodesic trajectory β starting from H and whose angle at H with α is $(a + 1)\pi$. For the same reasons, β converges to a pole. Besides, α and β follow the same direction θ so do not cross each other. We cut along trajectories α and β and then paste an infinite ribbon of thickness equal to ϵ in such a way we separate the angular sector of H is separated into two sectors of angle $(a + 1)\pi$ and $(b + 1)\pi$ that are respectively above and below the ribbon. What we get is a surface with two conical singularities of order a and b connected by a short geodesic of length ϵ that is a transverse segment of the ribbon. It is crucial to note that this surgery is not local.

3.5. **Properties of strata.** In the case $k = 1$, there is a complete characterization of nonempty strata. In addition to the previous condition about genus, a stratum $\mathcal{H}^1(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$ is nonempty if and only if $\sum_{j=1}^{p} b_j > 1$. If there are poles, there should not be just one simple pole.

In the case $k = 2$, when there is no pole of higher order, a stratum is nonempty if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 4g-4$ for some integer $g \geq 0$ and $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is not one of the two following singularity patterns: $(1, -1)$ and $(1, 3)$, see [21].

There is no such empty stratum when there are poles of higher order.



FIGURE 2. A flat surface of $\mathcal{H}^2(2,-2)$.

Proposition 3.2. *For every singularity pattern $(a_1,\dots,a_n,-b_1,\dots,-b_p)$ such that we have:*
(i) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - \sum_{j=1}^{p} b_j = 4g-4$.
(ii) there is at least one pole of higher order ($p \geq 1$).
Then stratum $\mathcal{H}^2(a_1,\dots,a_n,-b_1,\dots,-b_p)$ is nonempty.

Proof. Set $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\star$ and $z_1,\dots,z_n,w_1,\dots,w_p$ distinct elements of $\mathbb{C}$. Quadratic differentials of the form $\lambda \prod_{i=1}^{n}(z-z_i)^{a_i} \prod_{j=1}^{p}(z-w_j)^{-b_j} dz^2$ provide examples in every stratum of genus zero.

Then, we consider the case of strata of genus g with exactly two poles of order two and no poles of higher order. First, we can construct surfaces of strata $\mathcal{H}^2(a,-2^2)$ by adding handles on an infinite cylinder. Then, we break up the unique conical singularity to get surfaces in any stratum $\mathcal{H}^2(a_1,\dots,a_n,-2^2)$ of genus $g \geq 1$.

Next, we consider the case of strata with at least two poles of higher order and such that $\sum_{j=1}^{p} b_j \geq 5$. As we settled the case $g=0$ when $n+p \geq 3$, strata $\mathcal{H}^2(-4+\sum_{j=1}^{p} b_j,-b_1,\dots,-b_p)$ is nonempty. Then adding handles and breaking up the unique conical singularity provides surfaces for every stratum with $g \geq 1$ and $p \geq 2$ (other than those concerned by the previous case).

Finally, we consider the case $p=1$ and $g \geq 1$. We first look at strata $\mathcal{H}^2(a,-a)$ with $a \geq 2$. Figure 2 provides an example of surface in $\mathcal{H}^2(2,-2)$. Surfaces in strata $\mathcal{H}^2(a,-a)$ with $a \geq 3$ are constructed by adding an handle in a flat cone with a pole of order a and a zero of order $a-4$. The case $a=4$ corresponds to the flat plane. Therefore, strata $\mathcal{H}^2(a,-a)$ with $a \geq 2$ are nonempty. Adding handles and breaking up the unique conical singularity provides surfaces for every stratum with $p=1$ and $g \geq 1$. This ends the proof.

□

There is no such characterization of nonempty strata in the case $k \geq 3$.

On each stratum of 1-forms or quadratic differentials, $GL^+(2,\mathbb{R})$ acts by composition with coordinate functions [32]. As translation surfaces with poles have infinite area, we cannot normalize the area of the surface and thus must consider the full action of $GL^+(2,\mathbb{R})$. Since the action of $GL^+(2,\mathbb{R})$ does not preserve angles, there is no such action of $GL^+(2,\mathbb{R})$ on strata of k-differentials when $k \geq 3$. Indeed, directions are defined up to a rotation of

angle $\frac{2\pi}{k}$.

3.6. **Canonical k-cover.** Every k-differential (outside the singularities) is locally the k^{th} power of a 1-form. The canonical k-cover assigns to every pair (X, ϕ) of a Riemann surface and a k-differential a flat surface such that the differential is globally the k^{th} power of a 1-form, see [4]. The cover is branched only branched over the set of singularities of the differential. The canonical k-cover of (X, ϕ) is connected if and only if ϕ is a *primitive* differential form. A *primitive* differential form is a differential form that is not a power of a differential form of lower order. We denote by $(\widetilde{X}, \omega)$ the canonical k-cover of (X, ϕ).

Differentials that are the global k^{th} power of a 1-form belong to strata $\mathcal{H}^k(kc_1, \ldots, kc_s)$ where the order of all singularities is divisible by k. Their k^{th} root belong to strata $\mathcal{H}^1(c_1, \ldots, c_s)$.

The canonical k-cover $(\widetilde{X}, \omega)$ of (X, ϕ) is cyclic of order k so there is a generator τ of the cyclic deck group of the cover. Generator τ induces another periodic automorphism τ^* on relative homology group $H_1(X \setminus \Delta, \Lambda)$ where Δ is the set of poles of higher order and Λ is the set of conical singularities.
Thus $H_1(X \setminus \Delta, \Lambda)$ decomposes into invariant subspaces H_{ξ_i} where the ξ_i are the k^{th} roots of the unity. ϕ belongs to an invariant subspace H_{ξ_1} where where ξ_1 is a primitive root. Strata are complex-analytic orbifolds with local coordinates given by the period map of H_{ξ_1} [5, 7].

3.7. **Saddle connections.** When differentials have poles of higher order, the corresponding surfaces may have a finite number of saddle connections.

Definition 3.3. A saddle connection is a geodesic segment joining two conical singularities of the flat surface such that all interior points are not conical singularities.

It must be noted that when $k \geq 3$, saddle connections may be self-intersecting.

The number of saddle connections of a flat surface with poles of higher order is $GL^+(2, \mathbb{R})-$*invariant*.

Proposition 3.4. *The number $|SC|$ of saddle connections of a flat surface with poles of higher order (X, ϕ) changes lower semicontinuously in the moduli space (the number can only decrease as we pass to the limit).*

Proof. A saddle connection can only be broken if a conical singularity appears in the interior of the geodesic segment over the deformation. So for every saddle connection γ there is a neighborhood U_γ of the surface in the stratum for which the saddle connection γ still persists. Let A be a finite set of saddle connections of X, then every surface in $\bigcap_{\gamma \in A} U_\gamma$ has a number of saddle connections higher than $|A|$. Therefore one cannot approach a surface by a family of surfaces whose number of saddle connections would not approach it. □

Graphs of noncrossing saddle connections (see maximal geodesic arc systems in Subsection 4.2) provide good combinatorial decompositions of flat surfaces. For this purpose, we need to introduce the concept of *ideal triangle*.

Definition 3.5. An *ideal polygon* is a finite area contractible domain of regular points bounded by a finite number of distinct saddle connections whose vertices are not necessarily distinct. An *ideal triangle* is an ideal polygon with three sides.

We can associate homology classes to saddle connections. If $k \geq 2$, the way to do so is quite subtle. We consider a flat surface with poles (X, ϕ) and its canonical k-cover $(\widetilde{X}, \omega) = \pi^{-1}(X, q)$.

$H_1(\widetilde{X} \setminus \Delta', \Lambda')$ is the first relative homology group of $(\widetilde{X}, \omega)$ where Δ' and Λ' respectively are the preimages by π of the conical singularities and poles of higher order associated to ϕ. A cyclic automorphism τ associated to the covering acts on $H_1(\widetilde{X} \setminus \Delta', \Lambda')$.

We denote by $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$ the k preimages of an oriented saddle connection γ. Let ξ be a k^{th} roots of the unity. There is a sequence of integers $l_1, \ldots, l_k$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi^{l_i}[\gamma_i]$ is invariant by the action of τ. We define $[\gamma] = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi^{l_i}[\gamma_i]$.
Two saddle connections are said to be parallel when their relative homology classes are linearly dependant over $\mathbb{R}$.
The holonomy vector of a saddle connection is essentially determined by the period of its relative homology class. Its direction modulo $\dfrac{2\pi}{k}$ is that of the period and its length is $\dfrac{1}{k}$ of the modulus of the period.

3.8. **Parallel saddle connections.** We say that a couple γ_1, γ_2 of saddle connections is rigid when every deformation inside a neighborhood of the surface in the ambient stratum preserves the ratio $|\gamma_1| : |\gamma_2|$ between their lengths. The following lemma is proved as a part of Proposition 1 in [26]. Using the canonical k-cover, its proof generalizes without any difficulty in the case of meromorphic k-differentials with poles of arbitrary order.

Lemma 3.6. *Let (X, q) be a flat surface with (or without) poles of higher order, a couple γ_1, γ_2 of saddle connections is rigid if and only if γ_1 and γ_2 are parallel.*

The following lemma is proved in [26] as Theorem 1. Like in the previous case, its proof generalizes straightforwardly in the case of meromorphic k-differentials with poles of arbitrary order.

Lemma 3.7. *Let (X, q) be a flat surface with (or without) poles of higher order. Two saddle connections γ_1 and γ_2 are parallel if and only if they have no interior intersections and one of the connected components of $X \setminus (\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2)$ has trivial linear holonomy.*

3.9. **Index of a loop.** The topological index of a loop is particularly easy to handle in flat geometry.

Definition 3.8. Let γ be a simple closed curve in a flat surface with (or without) poles of higher order. γ is parametrized by arc-length and passes only through regular points. We consider the lifting η of γ by the canonical covering. Then $\eta'(t) = e^{i\theta(t)}$.
We have $\dfrac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^T \theta'(t)dt \in \dfrac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}$ because of the holonomy of the flat surface. This number is the topological index $ind(\gamma)$ of our loop γ.

In particular, the topological index of a counterclockwise simple loop around a singularity of order m is $m + 1$.

4. Core and related concepts

4.1. **Core of a flat surface with poles of higher order.** The core of a flat surface with poles was introduced in [16]. It relies on a notion of convexity that is natural in this context but whose consequences may seem counterintuitive.



FIGURE 3. The core of the surface $X \in \mathcal{H}^1(1, -1^3)$ as in the picture is an ideal triangle.

Definition 4.1. A subset E of a flat surface with poles of higher order is *convex* if and only if every element of any geodesic segment between two points of E belongs to E.
The convex hull of a subset F of a flat surface with poles of higher order X is the smallest closed convex subset of X containing F.
The core of a flat surface with poles of higher order X is the convex hull $core(X)$ of the conical singularities Λ of the k-differential.
$\mathcal{IC}(X)$ is the interior of $core(X)$ in X and $\partial\mathcal{C}(X) = core(X) \setminus \mathcal{IC}(X)$ is its boundary.
The *core* is said to be degenerated when $\mathcal{IC}(X) = \emptyset$ that is when $core(X)$ is just graph $\partial\mathcal{C}(X)$.

We give some examples to illustrate the situations that can occur.

Example 4.2. For most $X \in \mathcal{H}^1(1, -1^3)$, $\mathcal{IC}(X) \neq \emptyset$, see Figure 3. We note that according to this definition of convexity, a singleton may be non convex.

Example 4.3. For some $X \in \mathcal{H}^1(2, -2)$, $core(X)$ is degenerated, see Figure 4.

Proposition 4.4. *For any flat surface with poles of higher order (X, ϕ), $\partial\mathcal{C}(X)$ is a finite union of saddle connections.*

Proof. Every pole has a neighborhood whose complement is convex (Lemma 3.1). So, $core(X)$ belongs to a compact convex subset of X. By hypothesis, a regular point of $\partial\mathcal{C}(X)$ is in the closure of a sequence of geodesic segments that belong to $core(X)$. Therefore, $\partial\mathcal{C}(X)$ is locally isometric to a straight line around regular points. Since the core is compact and the lengths of saddle connections are bounded below by a positive number, $\partial\mathcal{C}(X)$ is a finite union of saddle connections. □

Lemma 2.1 shows the core cuts out as many components as there are poles. We refer to these connected components as *domains of poles*.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Taking a representative of a simple loop around a pole of higher order and stretching it as much as possible provides a piecewise geodesic boundary of the domain of this pole. Therefore, every pole belongs to a connected component homeomorphic to a disk. There is a similar construction in [28].



FIGURE 4. The core of this surface from $\mathcal{H}^1(2,-2)$ obtained from a plane with a rectangular hole is a bouquet of two circles.

A connected component without a pole would be compact so there would be trajectories passing through the core, going into that connected component and getting back to the core. It is impossible because $core(X)$ is convex. So, there is exactly one pole of higher order in each connected component.

□

4.2. **Maximal geodesic arc systems.** In order to get bounds on the number of saddle connections, we examine the maximal number of noncrossing saddle connections that is more tractable. This information is encompassed in the maximal geodesic arc system structure [16].

Definition 4.5. A geodesic arc system on a flat surface is a collection of saddle connections that intersect at most at conical singularities. A maximal geodesic arc system (MGAS) is a geodesic arc system that cannot be completed with another saddle connection.

Lemma 4.6. *A maximal geodesic arc system on a flat surface (X,ϕ) defines an ideal triangulation of $core(X)$ (2-cells of $\mathcal{IC}(X)$ are ideal triangles). Every conical singularity is a vertex of this triangulation.*

Proof. Every relative homology class may be represented by a non-intersecting broken line of saddle connections. We want to cut out every connected component of $\mathcal{IC}(X)$ into ideal triangles. If the genus of a connected component is nonzero, there is a broken line of saddle connections that represents a nontrivial cycle so we can cut out along saddle connections in order to get components whose genus is zero. Likewise, if there is a connected component whose boundary is not connected, there is a broken line of saddle connections that linking two components of the boundary. In this way, we construct a geodesic arc system such that every 2-cell is a contractile domain whose boundary is a connected chain of saddle connection. Such cells are plane polygons and it is well known that every plane polygon has a triangulation. It is the same for flat surfaces, see Figure 5.
Every conical singularity is a vertex of the triangulation because every conical singularity can be connected to another zero through a broken line of saddle connections. Each of these



FIGURE 5. A surface in $\mathcal{H}^1(1^2, -1^4)$ with a maximal geodesic arc system including 8 saddle connections drawn on it

connections either belongs to the maximal geodesic arc system or intersects the interior of some saddle connection of the MGAS. Therefore, for every couple of conical singularities, there is a broken line of saddle connections of the MGAS joining them. □

Remark 4.7. The saddle connections of $\partial\mathcal{C}(X)$ belong to every MGAS.

We say that a maximal geodesic arc system defines a partial ideal triangulation of the surface. The 2-dimensional cells are either domains of poles or ideal triangles belonging to the interior of the core. We denote them by *interior faces*. There are p domains of poles and we define t as the number of interior faces.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $|A|$ be the number of edges of a maximal geodesic arc system for a flat surface with poles of higher order (X, ϕ) belonging to $\mathcal{H}^k(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$, then we have:*

$$|A| = 2g - 2 + n + p + t$$

Proof. Considering the Euler characteristic of the partial ideal triangulation defined by the maximal geodesic arc system, we have:

$$n - |A| + p + t = 2 - 2g$$

that is

$$|A| = 2g - 2 + p + n + t$$

□

4.3. **Discriminant and walls-and-chambers structure.** The following proposition is crucial to justifiy the definition we give of the discriminant of a stratum.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m$ be the angles at the inner corners of the domain of a pole of higher order. Then for every $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have $\theta_i \geq \pi$.*

Proof. As $\partial\mathcal{C}(X)$ is a finite union of saddle connections, the boundary of the domain of a pole is a finite union of saddle connections too. We suppose there is an inner corner with an angle $0 \leq \theta_i < \pi$ between two saddle connections u and v at a conical singularity A. If we consider a sufficently small neighborhood of A we can choose two points B and C on u and v such that there is a geodesic segment between B and C belonging to the domain of the pole. This contradicts convexity of $core(X)$. □



FIGURE 6. Two saddle connections of the boundary of the core sharing an angle of π.

Changes of the core define a walls-and-chambers structure on the strata. It should be recalled that two saddle connections are said to be parallel when their relative homology classes are linearly dependant over $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 4.10. A flat surface with poles of higher order (X, ϕ) belongs to the discriminant of the stratum if and only if two nonparallel consecutive saddle connections of the boundary of the core share an angle of π. Chambers are defined as the connected components of the complementary to the discriminant in the strata.

Example 4.11. Some $(X, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}^1(1, -1^3)$ belong to the discriminant Σ of the stratum, see Figure 6.

Proposition 4.12. *The discriminant is an hypersurface of real codimension one in the stratum. If $k = 1$ or 2, then it is also invariant under the action of $GL^+(2, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Strata are orbifolds with local coordinates given by the period map. If two homology classes are not parallel, the locus of real colinearity between their holonomy vectors is of real codimension one.
The action of $GL^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ preserves lines so preserves the geometric condition satisfied by the surfaces of the discriminant.

□

Many interesting quantities that are constant along the chambers are derived from the topological embedding of the boundary of the core.

Proposition 4.13. *The topological map on a flat surface with poles of higher order (X, q) defined by the embedded graph $\partial\mathcal{C}(X)$ is invariant along the chambers. The number and the degrees of the conical singularities belonging to each connected component of $\mathcal{IC}(X)$ are invariant too.*

Proof. A modification of the topological type of the embedded graph $\partial\mathcal{C}(X)$ in X is a modification of the topological type of the boundary of the domain of a pole. If a continuous path in the stratum modifies the topological map, it is because a conical singularity has crossed a saddle connection in the boundary of some domain of pole. Equivalently, the



FIGURE 7. Two surfaces of $\mathcal{H}^1(3,-1^5)$: the first has 10 saddle connections while the second has 9 saddle connections.

path crosses the discriminant.

□

The number of saddle connections is not invariant as we deform a surface inside the chamber, see Figure 7. For these two surfaces, the topological structure of the core is the same. It is an ideal pentagon. The five domains of poles are infinite cylinders glued along the sides of the pentagon. We find that there are less saddle connections in the nonconvex pentagon. It is worth noting that the core is always convex in the sense of the flat metric without necessarily being convex as a plane polygon.

4.4. **Meromorphic differentials with prescribed residues.** If certain conditions are respected, every configuration of residues can be realized by a surface in a given stratum of 1-forms. This lemma is crucial in the proof of Theorem 2.12.

Lemma 4.14. *Let $\mathcal{H}^1(a_1,\dots,a_n,-b_1,\dots,-b_m,-1^s)$ be a stratum of meromorphic differentials with s simple poles and m poles of higher order on a topological surface of genus g. Let $(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_m,\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s)\in\mathbb{C}^m\times(\mathbb{C}^*)^s$ be a family of numbers such that:*
(i) $\sum_{i=1}^{m}\mu_i+\sum_{j=1}^{s}\nu_j=0$.
(ii) Either $(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_m,\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s)$ generates $\mathbb{C}$ as a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space or there are exactly two nontrivial numbers among $(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_m,\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s)$.

Then there is a meromorphic differential in the ambiant stratum such that the residues at the simple poles are $(\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s)$ and the residues at the poles of higher order are $(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_m)$.

Proof. The case $m+s=1$ (one unique pole) is trivial and true. The case $m=0$ and $s=2$ (two simple poles and no poles of higher order) is also true because the corresponding strata are nonempty [5] and the residues at simple poles are nontrivial. Rotating and rescaling provide every prescribed configuration of residues. In what follows, we exclude the previous cases. We first prove the lemma in the case $n=1$ and $g=0$.
We consider a stratum $\mathcal{H}(a,-b_1,\dots,-b_m,-1^s)$ of genus zero and a configuration of residues $(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_m,\nu_1,\dots,\nu_s)$. We are going to construct piece by piece a translation surface with poles with the adequate residues. We distinguish trivial and nontrivial residues.

In subsection 3.2, we construct neighborhoods of poles with nontrivial residues by removing the neighborhood of a semi-infinite line from an infinite cone. Thus, we get a

translation surface (of infinite area) with a boundary that is a unique closed saddle connection whose holonomy corresponds to the residue at the pole. Analogously, we construct for every simple pole a semi-infinite cylinder whose boundary is a unique saddle connection whose holonomy corresponds to the residue at the pole. We call these surfaces *nontrivial pieces*.
For poles with trivial residues, we cut a slit starting from the conical singularity of the infinite cone so as to get a translation surface whose boundary is the union of two closed saddle connections whose holonomy are opposed to each other. These saddle connections can have any nontrivial holonomy. We call these surfaces *trivial pieces*.
Then, we have to connect every piece with the others as in a linear graph. By hypothesis, we have at least two nontrivial pieces. We chose one of them as one of the two terminal vertices. Then, we connect to this nontrivial piece every trivial piece in succession by pasting one of their boundary component with one of the boundary component of the other piece. This is always possible because we can rotate and rescale every trivial piece. In the end, we have a big translation surface with a boundary that is a unique saddle connection and k nontrivial pieces remain. If there are exactly two nontrivial pieces, we are done. If there are at least three nontrivial pieces, we paste these pieces along the sides of a $k+1$-gon. We choose the cyclic order of the sides as the cyclic order of arguments of residues corresponding to each side. Thus, the polygon is not self-intersecting. As $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_s)$ generates $\mathbb{C}$ as a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space, this $k+1$-gon is not degenerated. Therefore, we have a translation surface with poles in the stratum $\mathcal{H}^1(a, -b_1, \dots, -b_m, -1^s)$ with the prescribed residues.

We then generalize the previous result for higher genus. Let $\mathcal{H}^1(a, -b_1, \dots, -b_m, -1^s)$ be a stratum of genus g with a unique conical singularity. For every configuration of residues satisfying the conditions of the lemma, there is a surface X_0 in $\mathcal{H}^1(a-2g, -b_1, \dots, -b_m, -1^s)$ that realizes it. As we excluded the cases where there is one unique pole or two simple poles, we have $a - 2g \geq 1$ and a sufficient number of singularities ($n + p \geq 3$). Then we start from the unique conical singularity in X_0 and we cut along g slits. Then we paste cylinders inside each of them. This surgery is local so does not change the residues at the poles. Thus we get a surface of genus g in $\mathcal{H}^1(a, -b_1, \dots, -b_m, -1^s)$ with the prescribed residues. We have proved the lemma for every stratum with $n = 1$.

By now, we look for a surface with prescribed residues in $\mathcal{H}^1(a_1, \dots, a_n, -b_1, \dots, -b_m, -1^s)$. The case already proved provides a surface X in $\mathcal{H}^1(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i, -b_1, \dots, -b_m, -1^s)$. We then break up the unique conical singularity to get a surface in the wanted strata. Breaking up is a local surgery that replaces one conical singarity by several conical singularities connected by small saddle connections, see [5, 11]. This surgery does not modify the residues at the poles. This ends the proof.

□

If the hypothesis of Lemma 4.14 are not satisfied, there are examples of configurations of residues that cannot be realized.

Example 4.15. In $\mathcal{H}^1(2, -2^2)$, every differential from the stratum is of the form $\lambda \dfrac{z^2}{(z-1)^2} dz$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Therefore, there is no meromorphic differential whose residues at the poles are both trivial.

4.5. **Quadratic differentials with prescribed periods.** For further applications, we give a systematic construction of flat surfaces such that the boundaries of domains of poles have prescribed holonomy vectors.

Lemma 4.16. *We consider surfaces of stratum $\mathcal{H}^2(a, -b_1, \dots, -b_p)$ of genus g with labelled poles $P_1, \dots, P_p$. Let $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p) \in \mathbb{C}^p$ with $p \geq 2$ be a family of numbers such that:*
(i) $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_i = 0$.
(ii) Either $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)$ generates $\mathbb{C}$ as a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space or there are exactly two nontrivial numbers among $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)$.

Then there is a meromorphic quadratic differential in $\mathcal{H}^2(a, -b_1, \dots, -b_p)$ such that for every nonzero number μ_i, the boundary of the domain of pole P_i of order $b_i \geq 3$ is a unique closed saddle connection whose length and direction (modulo π) are that of μ_i. For poles of order two, μ_i is instead the holonomy vector of the waist curves of the cylinder.

Proof. First, we prove the lemma in the case where $g = 0$. We consider a stratum $\mathcal{H}^2(a, -b_1, \dots, -b_m)$ of genus zero and a configuration of numbers $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)$. We are going to construct a flat surface with the adequate saddle connections piece by piece. We distinguish trivial and nontrivial pieces (corresponding to trivial and non trivial numbers in $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)$).

First, we construct neighborhoods of poles by removing the neighborhood of a semi-infinite line from an infinite cone and identifying the two remaining semi-infinite lines. Thus, we get a flat surface (of infinite area) with a boundary that is a unique closed saddle connection whose holonomy vector is μ_i. Analogously, we construct for every pole of order two a semi-infinite cylinder whose boundary is a unique saddle connection whose holonomy vector is μ_i. We call these surfaces *nontrivial pieces*.

For poles P_j such that $\mu_j = 0$, we cut a slit starting from the conical singularity of the infinite cone so as to get a flat surface whose boundary is the union of two closed saddle connections that have holonomy vectors opposed to each other. These saddle connections can have any nontrivial holonomy vector. This construction is also permitted for poles of order two, see Figure 1. We call these surfaces *trivial pieces*.

Then, we have to connect every piece with the others as in a linear graph. By hypothesis, we have at least two nontrivial pieces. We chose one of them as one of the two terminal vertices. Then, we connect to this nontrivial piece every trivial piece in succession by pasting one of their boundary components with one of the boundary components of the other piece. This is always possible because we can rotate and rescale every trivial piece. In the end, we have a big flat surface with a boundary that is a unique saddle connection and k nontrivial pieces remain. If there are exactly two nontrivial pieces, we are done. If there are at least three nontrivial pieces, we paste these pieces along the sides of a $k+1$-gon. We choose the cyclic order of the sides as the cyclic order of arguments of holonomy vectors corresponding to each side. Thus, the polygon is not self-intersecting. As $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)$ generates $\mathbb{C}$ as a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space, this $k+1$-gon is not degenerated. Therefore, we have a flat surface with poles of higher order in the stratum $\mathcal{H}^2(a, -b_1, \dots, -b_m)$ with the prescribed saddle connections.

We then generalize the previous result for higher genus. Let $\mathcal{H}^2(a, -b_1, \dots, -b_p)$ be a stratum of genus g with a unique conical singularity. For now, we exclude the case $p = 2$ and $b_1 = b_2 = 2$. For every configuration of numbers $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)$ satisfying the conditions of the lemma, there is a surface X_0 in $\mathcal{Q}(a - 4g, -b_1, \dots, -b_p)$ that realizes it because the lemma is proved in genus zero. Then we start from the unique conical singularity in X_0 and we cut along g slits internal to the central polygon. Then we paste cylinders inside each of them. This surgery is local so does not change the holonomy vectors of the saddle connections of the boundaries. Thus we get a surface of genus g in $\mathcal{H}^2(a, -b_1, \dots, -b_p)$

with the prescribed holonomy vectors. In order to get a surface with prescribed holonomy vectors in stratum $\mathcal{H}^2(a, -2^2)$ of genus g with $g \geq 1$, we cut g slits with the same starting point in an infinite cylinder and add handles in the slits. We choose the width of the cylinder so as to realize every prescribed holonomy vector. This ends the proof.

□

5. Dynamics

5.1. **Types of trajectories.** Using the canonical k-cover, every result about the dynamics of the vertical flow for simple meromorphic differentials can be transfered to meromorphic k-differentials. Therefore, in this section, most results are about translation surfaces with poles (corresponding to meromorphic 1-forms).

Dynamics of flat surfaces with poles of higher order are different from the usual case because most trajectories go to infinity, that is to a pole. In the following, we essentially follow the definitions Strebel gives in [30].

Definition 5.1. Depending on the direction, a trajectory starting from a regular point is of one of the four following types:
- regular closed geodesic (the trajectory is periodic)
- critical trajectory (the trajectory reaches a zero in finite time)
- trajectory finishing at a pole of higher order (the trajectory converges to a pole of higher order as $t \to +\infty$)
- recurrent trajectory (infinite trajectory nonconverging to a pole of higher order)

Proposition 5.2. *Recurrent trajectories lie in the core and for any recurrent trajectory there is a saddle connection in the same direction (up to a rotation of angle* $\frac{2\pi}{k}$*).*

Proof. Lemma 3.1 states that every pole of higher order has a basis of neighborhoods whose complement is convex. So a trajectory that enters in one of these neighborhoods never comes back. Therefore, if a pole of higher order is in the closure of trajectory, then this trajectory finishes at this pole. So, every recurrent trajectory lies in a compact set. Consequently, the convex hull of a recurrent trajectory is a convex compact whose boundary has finite perimeter. As lengths of saddle connections are bounded below by a positive number, the boundary is a finite union of saddle connections following the direction of the recurrent trajectory. Therefore, it belongs to the core. □

Proposition 5.3. *In translation surfaces with poles, regular closed geodesics follow saddle connection directions.*

Proof. A closed geodesic describes a cylinder bounded by either a simple pole and a chain of colinear saddle connections or two chains of saddle connections. A cylinder is not bounded by two simple poles because it would be a Riemann sphere without marked points and two simple poles. This would contradict $n > 0$. □

Corollary 5.4. *Lebesgue-almost every trajectory that passes through a given point $x \in X$ finishes at a pole.*

Proof. Directions of saddle connections are countable. Directions of trajectories passing through x and finishing at a zero are countable too.

□

5.2. **Decomposition into invariant components.** For classical translation surfaces, invariant components for the vertical flow are cylinders and minimal components [23]. Theorem 2.3 shows that the picture is a little more complicated for translation surfaces with poles.



FIGURE 8. This surface is obtained by pasting two infinite cylinders along a pair of slits following an irrational leaf of a flat torus

Proof of Theorem 2.3. There are finitely many saddle connections in a given direction so we get finitely many connected components. Periodic geodesics describe cylinders bounded by either a simple pole and a chain of colinear saddle connections or two chains of saddle connections. In a finite volume component different from a cylinder, the generic leaf is recurrent in two directions and the foliation is minimal because we cut along saddle connections. Thus the remaining components have infinite volume, where leaves go from a pole to another (or possibly return to the same pole) or to a zero for finitely many leaves. There is at least one pole in each infinite volume component and there is a unique simple pole at the end of each infinite volume cylinder. □

Example 5.5. In $\mathcal{H}^1(1^2, -1^2)$, some surfaces have minimal components, see Figure 8.

Combinatorial considerations will give sharp bounds on the number of finite volume components but for now, we finish up with dynamical preliminary results.

5.3. **Distribution of directions.**

Proposition 5.6. *For any translation surface with poles (X, ω) and $x \in X \setminus \Delta$, the set of directions θ such that the trajectory beginning in x along the θ direction finishes in a given pole p_i is open (possibly empty).*

Proof. A trajectory finishing at a pole stays at most a finite time if any in the core. Besides, it cannot return back to the core after leaving it. So $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ such that there is no conical singularity in a ε-tubular neighborhood of the trajectory. Therefore, we can slightly vary the direction while conserving a trajectory finishing at the same pole. □

Corollary 5.7. *The set of directions going to each pole is measurable so there is a function that assigns to every regular point a probability measure on the set of poles corresponding to the measure of the set of directions going to each pole.*

Remark 5.8. For a point of a translation surface with poles, the set of directions defining trajectories going to a given pole can be an infinite union of disjoints intervals, see Figure 9.

The set of directions of saddle connections can be deeply studied with the tools of descriptive set theory, see [1]. We shall remain on the surface and merely look at the accumulation points of this set. Theorem 2.4 shows that they correspond to finite volume cylinders and minimal components.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. In a finite volume cylinder or in a minimal component along a given direction θ, one can always find a sequence of saddle connections such that the sequence



FIGURE 9. In this surface in $\mathcal{H}^1(1,-1^3)$, set of directions of trajectories going from a particular point to a given pole is an infinite union of disjoints intervals.



FIGURE 10. Vertical trajectories starting from points of a horizontal geodesic segment go to a pole.

of their directions converges to θ. So directions of finite volume cylinders and of minimal components are accumulation points of directions of saddle connections considered as points of the unit circle.

If there are no finite volume cylinders nor minimal components in a given direction, without loss of generality, we suppose by contradiction that the vertical direction is an accumulation direction of saddle connections. So, there is a conical singularity x_0 which is the origin of a family of saddle connections whose directions approch the vertical one. If we consider a small horizontal geodesic segment I passing through x_0, every vertical trajectory starting from a point of this segment is a critical trajectory or a trajectory finishing at a pole, see Figure 10.

There is a finite number of critical trajectories in this segment because there is a finite number of zeroes and their opposite vertical trajectories leave the core after a finite time (there is a finite number of saddle connections in a given direction). So we can shorten our segment and get an open segment still containing x_0 such that all vertical trajectories starting from its points go to one and the same pole for points of the same side of the segment (left or right to x_0).
Following Proposition 5.6, there is an open interval of directions around the vertical one such that trajectories go to this pole. So, a family of saddle connections approaching the vertical cannot exist. □

Corollary 5.9. *Let (X,ω) be a translation surface with poles. The set of directions of saddle connections of (X,ω) in the unit circle is a closed proper subset.*

Proof. Following Proposition 5.2, directions of minimal components and of the waist curves of cylinders are directions of saddle connections. Therefore, the set of directions of saddle connections contains its accumulation points.

□

Using a theorem of Vorobets stated in [31], we are able to prove existence of a finite volume cylinder inside every minimal component. This will be crucial to bridge infinity of saddle connections and the combinatorics of the singularity pattern of the strata. A similar result has been proved by Aulicino in [1] in the context of slit translation surfaces with a quite different proof.

Lemma 5.10. *Let X be a translation surface with or without poles. For a minimal component $\mathcal{U}$ in the vertical direction, there is a family of regular periodic geodesics that describe a finite volume cylinder inside $\mathcal{U}$.*

Proof. As the boundary of $\mathcal{U}$ is made of vertical saddle connections whose total holonomy is zero, we can glue the boundary components on each other while adding marked points if necessary or modifying the order of the conical singularities. We get a translation surface Y without poles but with some marked vertical saddle connections (those that have been glued on each other). The translation surface Y belongs to a stratum $\mathcal{H}$.
Following Theorem 1.3 of Vorobets in [31], there are two constants $A(\mathcal{H})$ and $L(\mathcal{H})$ such that in every surface M of $\mathcal{H}$, there is a cylinder whose area is at least $A(\mathcal{H})$ and whose length of waist curves is at most $L(\mathcal{H})$.
We apply the Teichmüller flow in the vertical direction to Y until the total length of the marked vertical saddle connections is smaller than $\frac{A(\mathcal{H})}{L(\mathcal{H})}$. We get a surface we denote by Y^1. The total length of the marked vertical saddle connections in Y^1 is smaller than $\frac{A(\mathcal{H})}{L(\mathcal{H})}$ whereas there is a cylinder whose height is larger than $\frac{A(\mathcal{H})}{L(\mathcal{H})}$. Therefore, a portion of the waist curves of the cylinder does not cross the marked vertical saddle connections. Existence of such a regular closed geodesic is an invariant property for the Teichmüller flow so there is a finite volume cylinder in Y whose waist curves do not cross the marked vertical saddle connections. As Y has been obtained from $\mathcal{U}$ by gluing the marked vertical saddle connections, there is a finite volume cylinder inside $\mathcal{U}$.

□

The previous lemma implies a simple characterization of surfaces with infinitely many saddle connections.

Proof of Corollary 2.5. Following Lemma 5.10, if there is a minimal component in some direction, there is a finite volume cylinder in the surface. Therefore, an infinite number of saddle connections implies existence of a finite volume cylinder. As there is an infinite number of saddle connections in every finite volume cylinder, the equivalence is proved.

□

5.4. **Contraction flow.** In the case $k = 1$ *or* 2, there is a construction of surfaces with a degenerated core in the closure of every $GL^+(2,\mathbb{R})$-orbit. Such a construction works essentially because translation surfaces with poles have infinite area.

Definition 5.11. Let α and θ be two distinct directions. The contraction flow is the action of the semigroup of matrices $C^t_{\alpha,\theta}$ conjugated to $\begin{pmatrix} e^{-t} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ such that α is the contracted direction and θ is the preserved direction.



FIGURE 11. A surface in $\mathcal{H}^1(2,-1^2)$ and the surface obtained through the use of the contraction flow along a given direction

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Let (X,ω) be a translation surface with poles. The set of directions of saddle connections of (X,ω) in the unit circle (Corollary 5.9) is a closed proper set. Let θ be a direction that is not a direction of saddle connection. As the lengths of saddle connections of (X,ω) are bounded below by a positive number, no saddle connection shrinks and the orbit of (X,ω) by a contraction flow preserving the direction θ converges to a translation surface with poles (X_0,ω_0) in the ambient stratum.
We consider geodesic foliation in direction θ. It is is not the direction of a saddle connection (Proposition 5.2 and 5.3) so generic leaves go from a pole to another pole (or return to the same poles). They form strips separated by critical leaves. This decomposition characterizes the surface. We pass from a leaf to another following direction α. As direction α is preserved by the contraction flow, the limit of the decomposition in strips in the orbit of (X,ω) is another decomposition corresponding to a genuine translation surface with poles (X_0,ω_0) in the ambient stratum.
As the contraction flow reduces the area of the core exponentially, $core(X_0)$ is degenerated and every saddle connection belongs to direction α.

□

Example 5.12. In $\mathcal{H}^1(2,-1^2)$, pushing a surface through the contraction flow along a generic direction, we get a surface with a degenerated core, see Figure 11.

6. Noncrossing saddle connections

In the case $k=1$ *or* 2, the contraction flow is crucial to prove the sharpness of our lower bound on the number of saddle connections.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. We have $|A| = 2g-2+p+n+t$ where t is the number of ideal triangles in the triangulation defined by a maximal geodesic arc system (Lemma 4.8). The contraction flow gives examples of surfaces that have a degenerated core (Lemma 2.2). When $core(X)$ is degenerated, $\partial\mathcal{C}(X) = core(X)$ so all saddle connections belong to $\partial\mathcal{C}(X)$. Therefore, $|SC| = 2g-2+n+p$.

□

When $k \geq 3$, there is no contraction flow so there is no general procedure to get examples of the equality case. Most importantly, in some strata, there is no flat surface whose core is degenerated.



FIGURE 12. A flat surface of $\mathcal{H}^3(4,-2^2,-3^2)$

Proposition 6.1. *For any flat surface (X,ϕ) of $\mathcal{H}^k(a_1,\dots,a_n,-b_1,\dots,-b_p)$ such that $k\geq 3$ and there is a pole whose order b_j satisfies $\frac{k}{2}<b_j<k$, core(X) is not degenerated and $|SC|>2g-2+n+p$.*

Proof. Poles of order $b<k$ are conical singularities of total angle equal to $\frac{k-b}{k}2\pi$. Following Proposition 4.9, angles at the inner corners of a domain of pole are at least equal to π. Therefore, poles of order $\frac{k}{2}<b<k$ do not belong to the boundary of any domain of pole and the core cannot be degenerated. □

Example 6.2. Every flat surface $(X,\phi)\in\mathcal{H}^3(4,-2^2,-3^2)$ is such that *core*(X) is not degenerated, see Figure 12.

The following is a preparatory result to an upper bound depending on the combinatorics of the stratum.

For a pole P_j of order $b_j\geq k$, we denote by d_j the number of saddle connections of the boundary of the domain P_j whose two sides belong to the domain and by e_j the number of saddle connections one side of which belongs to the domain of P_j and another side belongs to another domain of pole or to the interior of the core. We define $\beta=2\sum_{j=1}^{p}d_j+\sum_{j=1}^{p}e_j$ as the boundary number of the surface.

Lemma 6.3. *Let (X,ϕ) be a flat surface of $\mathcal{H}^k(a_1,\dots,a_n,-b_1,\dots,-b_p)$. Set $|A|$ the number of edges of a maximal arc geodesic system and β the boundary number of the surface. Then $|A|=6g-6+3n+3p-\beta$.*
Besides, $|A|$ is constant in each chamber.

Proof. We define ϕ_j as the sum of angles at the inner corners of the boundary of the domain of pole P_j.
The degree of the Gauss map of a simple loop around a pole of order b is $\frac{b-k}{k}$. This loop can be deformed in order to be as close as necessary to the boundary of the domain of the pole. The boundary of the domain of the pole is a broken line of saddle connections and therefore the sum of angular defects of the corners of the domain of the pole is $2\pi\frac{b-k}{k}$.
The d_j saddle connections whose two sides belong to the domain of the pole should be



FIGURE 13. A surface in $\mathcal{H}^1(3,-1^3)$ with a maximal geodesic arc system including 9 saddle connections drawn on it

counted twice. Therefore, ϕ_j is given by the following formula:

$$\phi_j = 2\pi d_j + \pi e_j + 2\pi \frac{b_j - k}{k}$$

Then, we get:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_j = 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^{p} d_j + \pi \sum_{j=1}^{p} e_j + 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{b_j - k}{k}$$

Let t the number of ideal triangles in the triangulation of $core(X)$ given by the maximal geodesic arc system. The sum of the angles for $\mathcal{IC}(X)$ is πt.

The total sum of angles of conical singularities is $2\pi \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i + k}{k}$ so we have:

$$\pi t = 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i + k}{k} - 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^{p} d_j - \pi \sum_{j=1}^{p} e_j - 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{b_j - k}{k}$$

Using $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i - \sum_{j=1}^{p} b_j = k(2g-2)$ and simplifying, we get:

$$t = 4g - 4 + 2n + 2p - 2\sum_{j=1}^{p} d_j - \sum_{j=1}^{p} e_j$$

We have $|A| = 2g - 2 + n + p + t$ (Lemma 4.8) so we get:

$$|A| = 6g - 6 + 3n + 3p - 2\sum_{j=1}^{p} d_j - \sum_{j=1}^{p} e_j$$

The formula depends only on the shape of $\partial\mathcal{C}(X)$ so is an invariant of chambers.

□

An elementary consequence of these previous calculations is a generic lower bound in the case where all poles are simple, see Figure 13 for an example.



FIGURE 14. A flat surface of $\mathcal{H}^2(2,-2)$.



FIGURE 15. A surface in $\mathcal{H}^2(1,-1^3,-2)$ with a maximal geodesic arc system including 8 saddle connections drawn on it

Proof of Theorem 2.7. Domains of poles of order k are semi-infinite cylinders and two consecutive saddle connections of the boundary share an angle of π. Outside the discriminant, if there are several saddle connections in the boundary of the domain of a pole of order k, then they are parallel saddle connections. Otherwise, the boundary is a single closed saddle connection.
Following Lemma 3.7, two saddle connections of the boundary are parallel if and only if they form the boundary of a surface with trivial holonomy. In this case, the geometry of the surface is very constrained, see Figure 14. It comprises two parts:
- a unique domain of pole whose boundary is a pair of parallel saddle connections,
- the interior of the core that is a connected domain with trivial holonomy.

Since the two saddle connections of the boundary share an angle of π, a rotation of angle π should be realizable by the holonomy of the flat surface. Therefore, such a flat surface cannot exist when k is odd.
Outside the discriminant, when the domains of poles are bounded by a single closed saddle connection, we have $\beta = p$ and $|A| = 6g - 6 + 3n + 2p$ (Lemma 6.3), see Figure 15.
When $p = 1$, the boundary of the unique pole can be formed by one or two saddle connections so we have $\beta \leq 2$. Thus we have, $|A| = 6g - 6 + 3n + 3p - \beta \geq 6g + 3n - 5$. □

Remark 6.4. For an abelian differential, the number of edges of a maximal geodesic arc system is $6g - 6 + 3n$, see Proposition 2.1 in [31].

Combining the geometric and combinatorial constructions we introduced, we finally get an upper bound on the number of edges of maximal geodesic arc systems. It is quite remarkable that the bound does not depend on the individual degrees of the zeroes and poles of the stratum.

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Every domain of pole is bounded by at least one saddle connection so we have $\beta \geq p$. Using Lemma 6.3, we get:

$$|A| \leq 6g - 6 + 3n + 3p - \beta \leq 6g - 6 + 3n + 2p$$

□

The bound is not sharp. In many cases, it can be slightly improved. The following proposition provides some examples.

Proposition 6.5. *Let (X, ω) be a translation surface with poles of $\mathcal{H}^1(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$. Let $|A|$ be the number of edges of a maximal arc geodesic system. We have:*
- $|A| \leq 6g + 3n - 5$ if $p = 1$ and $g \geq 1$.
- $|A| \leq 3n - 6$ if $p = 1$, $n \geq 3$ and $g = 0$.
- $|A| \leq 3n - 3$ if $p = 2$, $n \geq 2$ and the stratum is irreducible.

Proof. In the specific case $p = 1$, $|A| = 6g + 3n - 4$ means $\beta = 1$ so the boundary of the domain of the pole is a unique saddle connection. However, the residue at the pole is zero. This is a contradiction so we can slightly enhance the bound.
In the cases where there is no cylinder in the stratum, $core(X)$ cannot have two saddle connections as a boundary. So we have $\beta \geq 3$.

□

Now that we have lower and upper bound on the maximal number of noncrossing saddle connections, it is natural to ask if every natural number in the interval is realized in the stratum. Some examples show it is not always true.

Proposition 6.6. *Let (X, ω) be a translation surface with poles of $\mathcal{H}^1(1^2, -2^2)$ and $|A|$ the number of edges of a maximal geodesic arc system. There are two types of surfaces:*
- $|A| = 2$, $core(X)$ is degenerated and $|SC| = 2$.
- $|A| = 4$, $core(X)$ is a finite volume cylinder and $|SC| = +\infty$.

Proof. Theorems 2.6 and 2.8 imply that $2 \leq |A| \leq 4$. $|A| = 2$ if and only if $core(X)$ is degenerated. In this case, $|A| = |SC| = 2$.

Lemma 6.1 gives restrictions on the maximal number of noncrossing saddle connections:

$$|A| = 6g - 6 + 3n + 3p - 2\sum_{j=1}^{p} d_j - \sum_{j=1}^{p} e_j$$

If $|A| = 4$, then the boundary of each of the two domains of poles is a single closed saddle connection and their holonomy vectors are opposed. Therefore, $core(X)$ is not degenerated and is an invariant component for the flow in this direction. So $core(X)$ is a cylinder and X has infinitely many saddle connections.

We show now that the case $|A| = 3$ is not possible in this stratum. Following the same equation, $core(X)$ would be an ideal triangle. One of its edges would be a closed saddle connection joining conical singularity x_1 to itself. This edge would be the whole boundary of the domain of pole y_1. Consequently, the domain of pole y_2 would be bounded by the two other sides of the ideal triangle. Theses sides would be saddle connections joining x_1 to x_2.
Let θ be the angle of conical singularity x_2 in $core(X)$. So $4\pi - \theta$ is the angle of x_2 in the

domain of pole y_2. Then, θ is the angle of x_1 in the domain of pole y_2. As $0 < \theta < \pi$, this contradicts convexity of $core(X)$ (see Proposition 4.9). So $|A| = 3$ is not possible.

□

7. Dichotomy between chambers

A key feature of flat surfaces of infinite area is that they can have a finite number of saddle connections whereas it is impossible for usual flat surfaces of finite area.
When $k = 1$ *or* 2, the contraction flow provides examples of surfaces whose core is degenerated and that have finitely many saddle connections, see Theorem 2.6.

In a few strata, all surfaces have finitely many saddle connections. In the other ones, some surfaces have infinitely many saddle connections. The previous theorems about the dynamics in translation surfaces with poles give information to distinguish the different families of strata.

The following examples show that different surfaces of the same stratum can have either finite or infinite number of saddle connections.

Example 7.1. In $\mathcal{H}^1(2,-2)$, some surfaces have a finite volume cylinder so have an infinite number of saddle connections and some have a finite number of saddle connections, see Figure 16.

Previous results opened the way to a conditional upper bound on the number of saddle connections of a flat surface with poles of higher order. Corollary 2.5 proves that a flat surface where there is no finite volume cylinder has a finite number of saddle connections. Theorem 2.14 provides an explicit upper bound bound on the number of saddle connections for surfaces in chambers where where no flat surface has a finite volume cylinder. Theorem 2.14 is only about strata of 1-forms.

Contrary to the case of strata where existence of surfaces with an infinite number of saddle connections has a combinatorial characterization (see Section 8), there is no easy characterization of chambers in terms of topological properties of the core.

Proof of Theorem 2.9. Let (X, ϕ) be a flat surface in a chamber $\mathcal{C}$ of a stratum $\mathcal{H}$. We are going to show that a high number of saddle connections in X implies existence of a cylinder for a surface in the same chamber as (X, ϕ).

We first suppose there is a simple closed loop α (without self-intersection) that satisfies the following conditions:
- α passes only through regular points of $\mathcal{IC}(X)$,
- the topological index of α is equal to zero,
- α is the union of two sections of saddle connections,
- the angular defect (deviation from π) at the turns are strictly less than pi.

We can replace curve α inside X by a flat ribbon whose boundary is formed by two curves that are isometric to α. If the ribbon is thick enough, there is a periodic geodesic inside it. This periodic geodesic is a waist curve of a finite volume cylinder.
Besides, this surgery does not change the structure of the core so the surface we get is still in chamber $\mathcal{C}$. As there is a finite volume cylinder, the new surface has infinitely many saddle connections (Corollary 2.5).



FIGURE 16. The two surfaces are representatives of two different chambers of $\mathcal{H}^1(2,-2)$.

Then, we prove an upper bound on the number of saddle connections of flat surfaces where there is no such closed loop α.

We consider a maximal geodesic arc system on (X,ϕ). Following Lemma 4.6, we get an ideal triangulation of $core(X)$. We denote by $t_1,\dots,t_s$ the numbers of ideal triangles in every connected component of the interior of $core(X)$. We have $t=\sum_{i=1}^{s} t_i$. Following Lemma 4.8, we have $|A|=2g-2+n+p+t$.

Every saddle connection that does not belong to the chosen maximal geodesic arc system go through a string of ideal triangles. There is no saddle connection that start and end in the same ideal triangle. Such a saddle connection either starts and ends in the same corner of the ideal triangle or intersect itself in the interior of the ideal triangle. Since the direction of a saddle connection is unambiguous if $k=1$, there is no such saddle connection.

We consider a pair of distinct ideal triangles r and r' in the the same connected component of $\mathcal{IC}(X)$. We suppose there are two distinct saddle connections γ and γ' that begin and end in these ideal triangles. Either the two saddle connections end in the same

corner of the ideal triangle or they intersect each other in the interior of the ideal triangle. Following γ from r to r' and γ' from r' to r defines a path. If γ and γ' intersect in some interior points, we consider only portions of these saddle connections such that we get a simple closed loop α. The angular defect at the two turning points are strictly less than π. Therefore, the topological index of α is zero. We get a simple loop that have all the needed properties.
If there is no surface with an infinite number of saddle connection in the chamber, then for every couple distinct of ideal triangles in the same connected component of the core, there are at most one saddle connection that begins and ends in the given ideal triangles. Therefore, we have $|SC| \leq |A| + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{s} t_i(t_i - 1)$.

□

One can construct many surfaces by pasting boundaries of domains of poles on the sides of a convex k-gon. As there are $\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ segments in a convex k-gon, a quadratic exponent is optimal.

Example 7.2. In $\mathcal{H}(p-2, -1^p)$ with $p \geq 3$, there are surfaces with $\frac{p(p-1)}{2}$ saddle connections, see Figure 7.

For some strata, having an infinite number of saddle connections is a generic property and in particular is true for every chamber that is outside the discriminant.

Proposition 7.3. *Let $(X, omega)$ be a translation surface with poles belonging to $\mathcal{H}^1(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -1^2) \setminus \Sigma$. Then (X, ϕ) has an infinite number of saddle connections.*

Proof. Since poles are simple, outside the discriminant, the boundary of each domain of a pole is a unique closed saddle connection. Residues of the meromorphic differential at the poles are opposed and nonzero. $core(X)$ is not degenerated because otherwise, the surface would be the pasting of two half-cylinders on each other, that is a surface of genus zero with two poles and no conical singularities.
If we consider the flow in the direction of the residues, $core(X)$ splits into a finite number of finite volume cylinders or minimal components where there are infinitely many saddle connections.

□

In very specific chambers, every surface has infinitely many saddle connections. The following proposition is about 1-forms.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a chamber of a stratum $\mathcal{H}$ of 1-forms such that the boundary of one connected component $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{IC}$ is exactly a pair of saddle connections. Then every surface X in $\mathcal{C}$ has infinitely many saddle connections. Chamber $\mathcal{C}$ is of unbounded type.*

Proof. We consider a surface (X, ω) in $\mathcal{C}$. The holonomy vectors of the two saddle connections of the boundary of $\mathcal{U}$ are opposite to one another. Thus, if we consider the flow in the direction of the holonomy of the saddle connections, trajectories of $\mathcal{U}$ are either minimal or periodic because they cannot leave $\mathcal{U}$ (trajectories are parallel to the boundary). Therefore, there are infinitely many saddle connections in X (Theorem 2.4).

□

The following result is a counterpart of Proposition 7.7 for quadratic differentials ($k = 2$).

Proposition 7.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a chamber of a stratum $\mathcal{Q}$ of quadratic differentials such that the boundary of one connected component $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{IC}$ is a unique closed saddle connection or a pair of parallel saddle connections. Then every surface (X, ϕ) in $\mathcal{C}$ has infinitely many saddle connections. Chamber $\mathcal{C}$ is of unbounded type.*



FIGURE 17. The two pure graph representations of $\mathcal{H}^1(4, 2, -3^2)$.

Proof. We consider a surface (X, ϕ) in $\mathcal{C}$. The holonomy vectors of the two saddle connections of the boundary of $\mathcal{U}$ are opposite to one another. Thus, if we consider the flow in the direction of the holonomy vector of the saddle connections, trajectories of $\mathcal{U}$ are either minimal or periodic because they cannot leave $\mathcal{U}$ (trajectories are parallel to the boundary). Therefore, there are infinitely many saddle connections in X (Theorem 2.4). □

8. Reducibility index and invariant components

Some complicated surfaces are constructed by connecting surfaces of genus zero with each other through cylinders, drawing a graph similar to a Lewis structure in chemistry. Such a possibility depends on the *singularity pattern* of the stratum, that is the set with multiplicities encoding degrees of zeroes and poles of a stratum, see Figure 17.
Graph representations of the strata are the decomposition graphs allowed by the combinatorics of the singularity pattern, see Definition 2.10. In this section, we consider only the case of 1-forms and quadratic differentials.

Lemma 2.11 proves that cutting along cylinders actually realize graph representations that satisfy all the conditions.

Proof of Lemma 2.11. We prove that cutting along waist curves of cylinders of a family F in a flat surface (X, ϕ) from a stratum $\mathcal{H}$, we define a graph representation of $\mathcal{H}$.
A finite volume cylinder is bounded at each end by a chain of saddle connections so there is a conical singularity in every connected component. If family F is empty, we nethertheless have $n \geq 1$ so there is a positive number in the singularity pattern of every connected component. Condition (i) is satisfied.
The flat structure of each connected component is that of a flat surface whose singularities are those of the corresponding family, plus a pole of order k for every adjacent cylinder that has been cut. Therefore, we have $\sigma(i) - 2v_i = k(2w_i - 2)$ that is the formula of condition (ii).
Condition (iii) is necessary only in the case $k = 1$. If cutting an edge of the graph G splits G into two connected components, then cutting along a waist curve of the corresponding cylinder splits X into two connected components. If every pole belonged to the same connected component, the condition on the sum of the residues would force waist curves of the cylinder to be homologous to zero. Therefore, condition (iii) is satisfied. We defined a graph representation of the stratum.

□

For every combinatorially admissible graph representation of a stratum, Theorem 2.12 shows there is a surface realizing it. The combinatorial obstruction is the only one. We split the proof in two parts depending if k equals 1 or 2.

Proof of Theorem 2.12 in the case $k = 1$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^1(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$ be a stratum of genus g and $(G, f_0, \ldots, f_s, w_0, \ldots, w_s)$ be a graph representation of level s of the stratum $\mathcal{H}$.
Adding to every family f_i a number of simples poles equal to the valency v_i of the vertex gives the combinatorics of a translation surface with poles of genus w_i. We must check that there is a sufficient number of singularities. In each family, there is at least one conical singularity so the only case we have to study is when $w_i = 0$. If the valency is at least two, there are at least three singularities. If the valency is one, then the sum of the degrees of the family is -1 and there is another pole. Finally, if the valency is zero, then the sum of the degrees of the family is -2. Since by definition, the graph G is connected, the original stratum corresponds to genus zero and there are at least three singularities.
So, for every family f_i of the graph representation, there is a genus w_i stratum in which we can pick the adequate component for our decomposition. We will see later how we can get a surface with prescribed residues at the poles in the stratum corresponding to every vertex.

We construct the required surface by gluing cylinders along the boundaries of the domains of the added simple poles that correspond to valency. There are two conditions :
- the sum of the residues at the two ends of each of the t cylinders corresponding to edges is zero.
- the sum of the residues at the poles of the same vertex (including the simple poles corresponding to edges) sums to zero.

Thus, the problem is reduced to solving a linear system of $t + s + 1 = 2t + 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{s} w_i - g$ equations with real coefficients in $2t + p$ indeterminates.

We first study the specific case where $g = \sum_{i=0}^{s} w_i$ and $p = 1$. Then, we have $t = s$. The genus of the graph is zero so cutting an edge splits the graph into two connected components. As we have $p = 1$, the graph is the trivial one (one vertex and no edges). This graph representation is realized by every surface in the stratum if we choose the family of cylinders to be the empty one.

Outside this specific case, the system is underdetermined. We are going to show that a solution with nonzero values for every t-variable is always permitted.
The solutions of the system form a linear subspace S of $\mathbb{C}^{2t+p}$. For a given variable, either it is zero for every solution of S or is nonzero outside a strict subspace of S. Therefore, in a generic solution of S, the only variables that have a null value are those that are zero for every solution of S. For every t-variable, we will construct specific solutions where this variable is nonzero in order to prove that all t-variables are nonzero for the generic solution.

We distinguish three kinds of t-variables:
(i) those associated to an edge connecting a vertex to itself (a loop)
(ii) those associated to an edge connecting two distinct vertices and whose cutting splits the graph into two connected components
(iii) those associated to an edge connecting two distinct vertices and whose cutting does not split the graph into two connected components.

The value of a t-variable of the first kind has no impact on the total residue of its vertex so we can always choose a nontrivial value.
For t-variables of the second kind, there is at least one pole in each component so a generic choice of residues of poles gives a nonzero value for the t-variable.
In the third case, the edge associated to the t-variable belongs to a cycle. We can put to zero all variables excepted those corresponding to edges of the cycle and then pick nontrivial values for the latter.
In conclusion, for every graph representation of any stratum, t-variables are nonzero for a generic solution of the equations defined by the graph.

We then show that we can always construct surfaces with prescribed residues for strata corresponding to vertices.
There is a projection of vector space S of solutions to the space of p-variables and t-variables of every vertex. For a given vertex, if the dimension of the image of the projection is at least two, then a generic solution in S assigns to p-variables and t-variables of this vertex values that generate $\mathbb{C}$ as a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space. Therefore, we can use Lemma 4.14 in order to get a surface with prescribed residues at the poles in the stratum.
Then, we study the case where the image of the projection is one-dimensional. Removing the vertex splits the graph into l connected components. We have $l = 1$ because otherwise there would have been two independant parameters to prescribe residues to the surface corresponding to the vertex. t-variables corresponding to the connection of the vertex with connected components satisfy a unique relation. Therefore, the vertex is connected to its complementary by a unique simple pole or a pair of simple poles whose residues are opposed to each other (otherwise, there would be more than one parameter). In conclusion, there are two cases:
- there is no p-variable that is generically nontrivial and the vertex is connected to its complementary by a pair of simple poles (t-variables) whose residue are opposed.
- there is a unique p-variable generically nontrivial and the vertex is connected to its complementary by a unique simple pole.
These two cases satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 4.14.

In conclusion, for a generic solution in S, we can construct surfaces corresponding to every vertex. Therefore, for every graph representation of any stratum we can construct the adequate surface.

In order to get parallel cylinders, we choose a realization of the graph representation. We pick a direction θ that is not the direction of a saddle connection and another direction α. We apply the contraction flow contracting direction θ and preserving direction α to every component of the surface (we exclude cylinders), see Definition 5.11 and Lemma 2.2. For every component, we get a surface whose residues at the poles belong to direction α. Then, we paste cylinders to connect components. Thus, we obtain a translation surface with poles realizing the graph representation and cylinders corresponding to edges are parallel (in the direction α). This ends the proof.

□

Example 8.1. The singularity pattern of $\mathcal{H}(1^4, -1^4)$ can be splitted into four families $(1, -1)$ that can be arranged to form a cyclic graph of length 4, see Figure 18.

Remark 8.2. Realization of graph representations associates a combinatorial object to a translation surface with or without poles by cutting along waist curves of the distinguished family of finite volume cylinders. If we just pinch waist curves to nodal points, we get meromorphic 1-forms on stable curves whose dual graph is the graph we would have obtained



FIGURE 18. A graph representation of a surface in $\mathcal{H}^1(1^4, -1^4)$ and a flat realization.

in the graph representation. Differentials on stable curves are a kind of half-way stage between combinatorial and geometrical objects. See [33] for differentials on stable curves.

Proof of Theorem 2.12 in the case $k = 2$. First we simplify the problem by considering only graph representations such that there is no edge cutting the graph into two connected components. Indeed, if each of the two parts of the graph representation can be realized, we can rescale and rotate one of the two surfaces in order to connect it with the other (waist curves of the cylinder corresponding to the additional poles of order two must have the same length and direction).

We carry out another simplification. We want to consider only graph representations where there is a unique number ≥ -1 in each family. In a given representation graph, we consider a family with several numbers $a_1, \ldots, a_s \geq -1$ such that their total sum A is nonzero. In the singularity pattern of the stratum and the families of the representation graph, we replace the numbers $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ by the unique number A. If this simplified representation graph can be realized by a surface X, we can break up the conical singularity corresponding to the number A in order to get a surface X_0 in the initial stratum that realizes the initial graph representation. Though breaking up singularities is not a local surgery, if the surgery is small enough, cylinders of X corresponding to the edges of the graph representation persist.

In the case of a family $(a_1, \ldots, a_l, -b_1, \ldots, -b_t)$ with several numbers corresponding to conical singularities and such that $A = \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i = 0$, we have to work differently. We have $\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i - \sum_{j=1}^{t} b_j = -4 + 4w + 2v$ where v and w are respectively the weight and the valency of the vertex. We excluded the case $v = 1$ (because the corresponding edge would cut out the graph into two connected parts) and the case $v = 0$ is trivial. Thus we have $v \geq 2$. Since $A = 0$, the equation becomes $-\sum_{j=1}^{t} b_j = -4 + 4w + 2v$. Therefore, we have $w = 0$, $v = 2$ and there are no numbers below -1. The family is $(a_1, \ldots, a_q, -1^r)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{q} a_i = r$. We replace this family by $(2, -2)$. We will carefully look at this pole of order two.
If the new graph representation we get can be realized by a surface X, we can slightly deform the surface in such a way that the boundary of the pole of order two is a unique closed saddle connection connected to the conical singularity of order two. Then we fill this pole by replacing the boundary saddle connection by two half saddle connections connected by a conical singularity of order -1. Thus, we get the realization of a graph representation where the corresponding family is not $(2, -2)$ but $(1, -1)$ (as valency is nonzero it is not an

empty stratum). Next, we break up the conical singularity of order one as in the previous paragraph.

Henceforth, we consider only graph representations where there is a unique number larger than -1 (or equal) in every family and such that there is no edge splitting the graph into two connected components.
Adding a number of poles of order two equal to the valency v_i of the vertex to every family f_i gives the singularity pattern of a flat surface (with poles of higher order) of genus w_i. When there is at least a pole of higher order in the singularity pattern, the stratum is nonempty (Proposition 3.1). We check that there is a sufficient number of singularities. In each family, there is a conical singularity so the only case we have to study is when $w_i = 0$. If the valency is at least two, there are at least three singularities. The case of valency equal to one is excluded. Finally, if the valency is zero, then the sum of the degrees of the family is -4. Since by definition, the graph G is connected, the original stratum corresponds to genus zero and there are at least three singularities.
Consequently, for every family f_i of the graph representation, there is a genus w_i stratum in which we can pick the adequate component. Following our construction in the proof of Lemma 4.16, for every such singularity pattern, we can construct a surface such that each domain of pole is unique closed saddle connection of prescribed length and direction. We will see later how to match these components.

We construct the required surface by gluing cylinders along the boundaries of the domains of the additional poles of order two that correspond to valency. We assume two technical conditions :
- the sum of the holonomy vectors at the two ends of each of the t cylinders corresponding to edges is zero.
- the sum of the holonomy vectors of the boundaries of domains of poles of the same vertex (including the poles of order two corresponding to edges) sums to zero.

Thus, the problem is reduced to solving a linear system of $t + s + 1 = 2t + 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{s} w_i - g$ equations in $2t + p$ indeterminates.

We excluded graphs where cutting some edge splits the graph into two connected components. So we can exclude the case where $g = \sum_{i=0}^{s} w_i$ and $p = 1$ where the genus of the graph is zero.

Outside this specific case, the system is underdetermined. We are going to show that a solution with nonzero values for every t-variable is always permitted.
The solutions of the system form a linear subspace S of $\mathbb{C}^{2t+p}$. For a given variable, either it is zero for every solution of S or is nonzero outside a strict subspace of S. Therefore, in a generic solution of S, the only variables that vanish are those that are zero for every solution of S. For every t-variable, we will construct specific solutions where this variable is nonzero in order to prove that all t-variables are nonzero for the generic solution.

We distinguish two kinds of t-variables:
(i) those associated to an edge connecting a vertex to itself (a loop)
(ii) those associated to an edge connecting two distinct vertices (and whose cutting does not split the graph into two connected components).

The value of a t-variable of the first kind has no impact on the sum of holonomy vectors corresponding to its vertex so we can always choose a nontrivial value.

For t-variables of the second kind, the edge associated to the t-variable belongs to a cycle. We can put to zero all variables excepted those corresponding to edges of the cycle and then pick nontrivial values for the latter.
In conclusion, for every graph representation of any stratum, t-variables are nonzero for a generic solution of the equations defined by the graph.

We then show that we can always construct surfaces with prescribed holonomy vectors for strata corresponding to vertices.
There is a projection of vector space S of solutions to the space of p-variables and t-variables of every vertex. For a given vertex, if the dimension of the image of the projection is at least two, then a generic solution in S assignes to p-variables and t-variables of this vertex values that generate $\mathbb{C}$ as a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space. Therefore, we can use Lemma 4.16 in order to get a surface with prescribed holonomy vectors at the boundaries of domains of poles in the stratum.

Then, we study the case where the image of the projection is one-dimensional. Removing the vertex splits the graph into l connected components. We have $l = 1$ because otherwise there would have been two independant parameters to prescribe holonomy vectors to the surface corresponding to the vertex. t-variables corresponding to the connection of the vertex with connected components satisfy a unique relation. Therefore, the vertex is connected to its complementary by d edges. We have $d \leq 2$ because otherwise there would be more than one parameter. The case $d = 1$ is forbidden because there would be an edge cutting out the graph into two connected components. Therefore, the vertex is connected to its complementary by a pair of poles of order two whose holonomy vectors are opposed to each other. Besides, there is no p-variable that is generically nontrivial (because otherwise it would be another variable). Here again, we satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 4.4.

In conclusion, for a generic solution in S, we can construct surfaces corresponding to every vertex. Therefore, for every graph representation of any stratum we can construct the adequate surface.

In order to get parallel cylinders, we choose a realization of the graph representation. We pick a direction θ that is not the direction of a saddle connection and another direction α. We apply the contraction flow contracting direction θ and preserving direction α to every component of the surface (we exclude cylinders), see Definition 5.11 and Lemma 2.2. For every component, the boundary of domains of poles corresponding to edges belongs to direction α. Then, we paste cylinders to connect components. Thus, we obtain a flat surface with poles of higher order realizing the graph representation and cylinders corresponding to edges are parallel (pointing in direction α). This ends the proof.

□

Example 8.3. The singularity pattern of $\mathcal{H}^2(2, 1^3, -1^3, -2^3)$ can be split into four families $(1, -1, -2), (1, -1, -2), (1, -1, -2), (2)$ that can be arranged to form a star tree with three leaves, see Figure 19.

The reducibility index κ measures combinatorially the possibility to decompose the object into simpler components. The combinatorial constraint $\kappa \leq n - 1$ is not the only one. In the case $k = 1$, there is another one that forces κ to be small when both the genus and the number of poles are small even if there are many conical singularities.

Proposition 8.4. *Let $\mathcal{H}^1(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$ be a stratum of genus g. If $g = 0$ and $p = 1$ then $\kappa = 0$. Otherwise, we have $\kappa \leq 2g + 2p - 3$.*



FIGURE 19. A graph representation of a surface in $\mathcal{H}^2(2,1^3,-1^3,-2^3)$ and a flat realization.

Proof. We consider a pure graph representation of level κ of stratum $\mathcal{H}$.
Set c_i the number of vertices of valency equal to i. The valency sum formula states that $\sum_{i\geq 0} ic_i = 2(g+\kappa)$.
Moreover, we have $\sum_{i\geq 0} ic_i \geq 3\sum_{i\geq 0} c_i - 3c_0 - 2c_1 - c_2 \geq 3(\kappa+1) - 3c_0 - 2c_1 - c_2$.
Thus, $\kappa \leq 2g + 3c_0 + (c_1+c_2) + c_1 - 3$.
There is a positive number in every family corresponding to a vertex in the graph decomposition so the valency of a vertex corresponding to a family without negative number is at least three. Consequently we have $c_1 \leq p$ and $c_1 + c_2 \leq p$.
Then we have $\kappa \leq 2g + 2p + 3c_0 - 3$.
Except in the case where $\kappa = 0$ and $g = 0$ there is no vertex without edge so $c_0 = 0$ when $g \geq 1$ or $\kappa \geq 1$.
When $g = 0$ either $\kappa = 0$ or $\kappa \leq 2g+2p-3$ so $\kappa = 0$ when $p = 1$. This ends the proof. □

Moreover, in genus zero, there are classical arithmetic obstructions.

Proposition 8.5. *Let $\mathcal{H}^1(a_1,\dots,a_n,-b_1,\dots,-b_p)$ be a stratum of genus zero such that $gcd(a_1,\dots,a_n,b_1,\dots,b_p) \neq 1$. Then the stratum is irreducible ($\kappa = 0$).*

Proof. In genus zero, a graph representation is a graph with no cycles. So there always exists a vertex with valency 1. We cannot find a subfamily of $a_1,\dots,a_n,-b_1,\dots,-b_p$ such that the sum of the degrees is -1. Thus, $\kappa = 0$. □

Remark 8.6. Proposition 8.5 shows that the bound based on Proposition 8.4 is very far from being sharp.

The following proposition reduces the calculation of the reducibility index κ of the strata of higher genus to those of genus zero.

Proposition 8.7. *Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^k(a_1,\dots,a_n,-b_1,\dots,-b_p)$ be a stratum of genus g. We have $\kappa(\mathcal{H}) = \kappa(\mathcal{H}')$ where $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H}(a_1,\dots,a_n,-b_1,\dots,-b_p,-k^{2g})$ is the stratum of genus zero associated to $\mathcal{H}$ by adding a sufficient number of poles of order k to obtain genus zero.*

Proof. We consider a graph representation of level $\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ of stratum $\mathcal{H}$ of genus g. Without loss of generality, we can choose a pure graph representation (see Definition 2.9). The graph of the graph representation has $g+\kappa$ edges (valency formula). We remove g edges in such a way the graph remains connected. We add to every vertex one simple pole per

adjacent edge that has been removed.

In the new graph we get, if an edge becomes such that cutting it splits the graph into two connected components, it is because an edge connecting these two components has been cut. Therefore, in the case $k = 1$, there is at least one simple pole in each of these connected components. Thus, we get a graph representation of level $\kappa(\mathcal{H})$ of stratum $\mathcal{H}'$. Therefore, we have $\kappa(\mathcal{H}) \leq \kappa(\mathcal{H}')$.
Next, we consider a graph representation of level $\kappa(\mathcal{H}')$ of stratum $\mathcal{H}'$ of genus zero. We pick randomly g pairs of poles of order k among the families and remove them. For every pair removed, we draw an edge between their vertices (not necessarily distinct). We have constructed a graph representation of level $\kappa(\mathcal{H}')$ of stratum $\mathcal{H}$ of genus g.
Therefore, we have $\kappa(\mathcal{H}) \geq \kappa(\mathcal{H}')$. This ends the proof.

□

In some specific cases, we are able to provide the value of the reducibility index of a stratum immediately.

Corollary 8.8. *Let $\mathcal{H}^1(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -1^p)$ be a stratum of translation surfaces without poles (when $p = 0$) or whose poles are all simple (when $p \geq 2$). Then we have $\kappa = n - 1$.*

Proof. It suffices to check the case of strata $\mathcal{H}^1(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -1^p)$ of genus zero (Proposition 8.7). In this case, we have $p = 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$. The case $n = 1$ is trivial so we assume $n \geq 2$. We form the following families $\{a_1, -1^{1+a_1}\}$, $\{a_2, -1^{1+a_2}\}$ and $\{a_i, -1^{a_i}\}$ for $3 \leq i \leq n$. We attribute to each family a vertex of a A_n graph (linear graph with n vertices) and thus obtain a graph representation of level $n - 1$ of stratum $\mathcal{H}(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -1^p)$.

□

A sharp bound on the number of components invariant by the vertical flow has been proved in the case of classical translation surfaces by Naveh in [27].

We are going to prove a similar result for (half-)translation surfaces with poles. In this context, the combinatorics of the strata are more complicated so we need another structure in order to quantify specific obstructions.

Proof of Theorem 2.13. Let us suppose the existence of a flat surface (X, ϕ) with at least $g + \kappa + 1$ finite volume components. These finite volume components are either minimal components or finite volume cylinders. Following Lemma 5.10, there is a finite volume cylinder inside every minimal component. So there are at least $g + \kappa + 1$ finite volume cylinders whose interiors are disjoints in the surface X. Such a surface (X, ϕ) would realize a graph representation of level $\kappa + 1$ and would contradict the hypothesis.
For a stratum $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^k(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -b_1, \ldots, -b_p)$ of genus g and of reducibility index κ, there is a graph representation of level κ. According to Theorem 2.11, there is a surface realizing this graph representation such that the $g + \kappa$ edges are represented by parallel cylinders so the bound is sharp. □

The reducibility index is crucial to characterize the strata where an infinity of saddle connections can occur.

Proof of Corollary 2.14. Following Corollary 2.5, a surface has an infinite number of saddle connections if and only if there is a finite volume cylinder of periodic geodesics in some direction.
If $g > 0$ or $\kappa > 0$, there is a graph representation of the surface with at least one edge so



FIGURE 20. A surface in $\mathcal{H}^1(3,-1^3)$ with a cylinder in the vertical direction



FIGURE 21. A surface in $\mathcal{H}^1(1^2,-1^4)$ with a cylinder in the vertical direction

there is a surface with a cylinder in the stratum (Theorem 2.12).
Conversely, in an irreducible stratum, the bound in Theorem 2.13 shows that there is no finite volume component so the number of saddle connections is always finite. □

We give some examples to illustrate the different phenomena that can occur.

Example 8.9. In $\mathcal{H}^1(3,-1^3)$, we have $g = 1$ and some surfaces have a cylinder so have an infinite number of saddle connections, see Figure 20.

Example 8.10. In $\mathcal{H}^1(1^2,-1^4)$, we have $\kappa = 1$ and some surfaces have infinitely many saddle connections, see Figure 21.

Example 8.11. In $\mathcal{H}^1(1,7,-5^2)$, we have $\kappa = 0$ and all surfaces have finitely many saddle connections.

The following corollary provides a characterization of strata where every chamber is of bounded type. They are exactly irreducible strata.

Proof of Corollary 2.15. If $\mathcal{H}$ is an irreducible stratum, then every surface has finitely many saddle connections (Corollary 2.14). If there were a chamber of unbounded type in

$\mathcal{H}$, there would be a surface with infinitely many saddle connections (Theorem 2.9). So every chamber is of bounded type.

If $\mathcal{H}$ is a reducible stratum, then there is a surface (X, ω) in $\mathcal{H}$ with infinitely many saddle connections (Theorem 2.9). The locus in $\mathcal{H}$ where $|SC| = +\infty$ is an open set (Corollary 2.5). Besides, the discriminant has real codimension one (Proposition 4.12). Therefore, there is a at least a chamber where there is a surface with infinitely many saddle connections. This chamber is of unbounded type.
As the number of saddle connections changes lower continuously in the stratum and the bound of Theorem 2.9 is satisfied in the chambers (in the case $k = 1$), the bound is satisfied as well in the discriminant. Therefore, the bound is satisfied in the whole stratum. □

References

[1] D. Aulicino. *The Cantor-Bendixson rank of certain Bridgeland-Smith stability conditions* . Preprint, arXiv:1512.02336, 2015.

[2] M. Baker. *Specialization of linear systems from curves to graphs.* Algebra and Number Theory, Volume 2, Issue 6, 613-653, 2008.

[3] M. Bainbridge, D. Chen, Q. Gendron, S. Grushevsky, M. Möller. *Compactification of strata of abelian differentials.* Preprint, arXiv:1604.08834, 2016.

[4] M. Bainbridge, D. Chen, Q. Gendron, S. Grushevsky, M. Möller. *Strata of higher order differentials.* In preparation.

[5] C. Boissy. *Configurations of saddle connections of quadratic differentials on CP1 and on hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces.* Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, Volume 84, Issue 1, 757-791, 2009.

[6] C. Boissy. *Connected components of the strata of the moduli space of meromorphic differentials.* Commentarii Mathematici Helvitici, Volume 90, Issue 2, 255-286, 2015.

[7] T. Bridgeland, I. Smith. *Quadratic differentials as stability conditions.* Publications de l'IHES, Volume 121, Issue 1, 155-278, 2015.

[8] A. Eskin, M. Kontsevich, A. Zorich. *Sum of Lyapunov exponents of the Hodge bundle with respect to the Teichmüller geodesic flow.* Publications de l'IHES, Volume 120, Issue 1, 207-333, 2014.

[9] A. Eskin, H. Masur. *Asymptotic formulas on flat surfaces.* Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, Volume 21, Issue 2, 443-478, 2001.

[10] A. Eskin, M. Mirzakhani, A. Mohammadi. *Isolation, equidistribution and orbit closures for the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ action on moduli space.* Annals of Mathematics, Volume 182, Issue 2, 673-721, 2015.

[11] A. Eskin, H. Masur, A. Zorich. *Moduli spaces of abelian differentials : the principal boundary, counting problems and the Siegel-Veech constant.* Publications de l'IHES, Volume 97, Issue 1, 61-179, 2003.

[12] S. Filip. *Splitting mixed Hodge structures over affine invariant manifolds.* Annals of Mathematics, Volume 183, Issue 2, 681-713, 2016.

[13] G. Farkas, R. Pandharipande. *The moduli space of twisted canonical divisors.* Preprint, arXiv:1508.07940, 2015.

[14] Q. Gendron. *The Deligne-Mumford and the Incidence Variety Compactifications of the Strata of $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$.* Preprint, arXiv:1503.03338, 2015.

[15] D. Gaiotto, G. Moore, A. Neitzke. *Four dimensional wall-crossing via threedimensional field theory.* Communications in Mathematical Physics, Volume 299, Issue 1, 163-224, 2010.

[16] F. Haiden, L. Katzarkov, M. Kontsevich. *Flat surfaces and stability structures.* Preprint, arXiv:1409.8611, 2015.

[17] T. Holst, B. Shapiro. *On higher Heine-Stieltjes polynomials.* Israel Journal of Mathematics, Volume 183, 321-345, 2011.

[18] W.P. Hooper, P. Hubert, B. Weiss. *Dynamics on the infinite staircase.* Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, Series A, American Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Volume 22, Issue 9, 4341-4347, 2013.

[19] W.P. Hooper, B. Weiss. *Generalized Staircases: Recurrence and Symmetry.* Annales de l'institut Fourier, Volume 62, Issue 4, 1581-1600, 2012.

[20] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman. *Stability structures, motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and cluster transformations.* Preprint, arXiv:0811.2435, 2008.

[21] E. Lanneau. *Connected components of the strata of the moduli spaces of quadratic differentials.* Annales scientifiques de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, Volume 41, Issue 1, 1-56, 2008.

[22] S. Lando, A. Zvonkin. *Graphs on surfaces and their applications.* Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, 2004.
[23] A. Maier. *Trajectories on the closed orientable surfaces.* Math. Sbornik, Volume 12, 71-84, 1943.
[24] H. Masur. *Lower bounds for the number of saddle connections and closed trajectories of a quadratic differential.* Holomorphic Functions and Moduli, Volume 1, 215-228, 1986.
[25] H. Masur. *The growth rate of trajectories of a quadratic differential.* Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, Volume 10, Issue 1, 151-176, 1990.
[26] H. Masur, A. Zorich. *Multiple saddle connections on flat surfaces and the principal boundary of the moduli spaces of quadratic differentials.* Geometric and Functional Analysis, Volume 18, Issue 3, 919-987, 2008.
[27] Y. Naveh. *Tight upper bounds on the number of invariant components of translation surfaces.* Israel Journal of Mathematics, Volume 165, 211-231, 2008.
[28] K. Rafi. *Thick-thin decomposition for quadratic differentials.* Mathematical Research Letters, Volume 14, No 2, 333-341 2007.
[29] B. Shapiro. *On Evgrafov-Fedoryuk's theory and quadratic differentials.* Analysis and Mathematical Physics, Volume 5, Number 2, 171-181, 2015.
[30] K. Strebel. *Quadratic Differentials.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Volume 5, 1984.
[31] Y. Vorobets. *Periodic geodesics on translation surfaces.* Preprint, arXiv:0307249, 2005.
[32] A. Zorich. *Flat Surfaces.* Frontiers in Physics, Number Theory and Geometry, 439-586, 2006.
[33] D. Zvonkine. *Strebel differentials on stable curves and Kontsevich's proof of Witten's conjecture.* arXiv:0209071, 2003.

(Guillaume Tahar) Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu - UMR CNRS 7586
E-mail address: guillaume.tahar@imj-prg.fr